

Goldman systems and bending systems

Yuichi Nohara and Kazushi Ueda

Abstract

We show that the moduli space of parabolic bundles on the projective line and the polygon space are isomorphic, both as complex manifolds and symplectic manifolds equipped with structures of completely integrable systems, if the stability parameters are small.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{N}_α be the moduli space of semi-stable parabolic bundles of rank 2 on the projective line X with n marked points z_1, \dots, z_n , where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)^n$ is the parameter for the parabolic weight. The moduli space \mathcal{N}_α is a smooth projective manifold for a generic choice of α . Mehta and Seshadri [MS80] gave a construction of \mathcal{N}_α using geometric invariant theory, and showed that it is diffeomorphic to the moduli space of unitary representations of the fundamental group of the punctured Riemann surface $X \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$.

With any pair-of-pants decomposition of the punctured Riemann surface $X \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, one can associate a completely integrable system on \mathcal{N}_α called the *Goldman system* [Gol86]. The Goldman system resembles the moment map of a toric variety [Wei92, JW92, JW94, JW97], although the natural complex structure on \mathcal{N}_α is not preserved by the action of the Goldman's Hamiltonians. Even worse, the moduli space \mathcal{N}_α as a complex manifold usually does not admit a structure of a toric variety at all.

A pair-of-pants decomposition of the punctured Riemann surface $X \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ is described by a trivalent graph Γ with n leaves, in such a way that nodes correspond to pairs of pants and edges show how they are glued together as shown in Figure 1.1. In this paper, we consider the case when the genus of X is zero, so that Γ is a tree. The corresponding Goldman system will be denoted by $\Theta_\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$.




Figure 1.1: A pair-of-pants decomposition and its dual graph

The moduli space \mathcal{N}_α is closely related to the moduli space \mathcal{M}_w of ordered n points on the projective line, which is constructed as the geometric invariant theory quotient;

$$\mathcal{M}_w = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma((\mathbb{P}^1)^n, \mathcal{O}(kw_1, \dots, kw_n))^{PGL_2} \right).$$

Here $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ is the parameter for the PGL_2 -linearization, which determines the stability condition and the ample line bundle on the quotient.

The moduli space \mathcal{M}_w has a natural symplectic structure as a polarized projective variety. As such, it admits an interpretation as the moduli space of polygons in \mathbb{R}^3 with side lengths (w_1, \dots, w_n) . Fix a convex planar n -gon P called the *reference polygon*. We identify the set of triangulations of the reference polygon with the set of trivalent trees with n leaves, by assigning the dual graph to a triangulation. For any triangulation Γ of the reference polygon, Klyachko [Kly94] and Kapovich and Millson [KM96] introduced a completely integrable system

$$\Phi_\Gamma : \mathcal{M}_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$$

called the *bending system*.

To relate a completely integrable system with a toric variety, the notion of a *toric degeneration of an integrable system* is introduced in [NNU10, Definition 1.1]. For each triangulation Γ of the reference polygon P , we have given a toric degeneration of the corresponding bending system in [NU14, Corollary 1.3]. The toric degeneration of \mathcal{M}_w underlying this toric degeneration of the bending system is the one given in [HK97, KY02, SS04, FH05, HMM11].

The main result in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 1/2)^n$ be a parabolic weight satisfying $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n < 1$. Then for any triangulation Γ of the reference polygon P , there is a symplectomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{N}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_w$ such that $\psi^* \Phi_\Gamma = \Theta_\Gamma$.*

Combining with [NU14, Corollary 1.3], we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)^n$ satisfies $|\alpha| < 1$. Then there exists a continuous family $\pi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of symplectic varieties equipped with completely integrable systems $F_t : Y_t = \pi^{-1}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ such that $(Y_1, F_1) = (\mathcal{N}_\alpha, \Theta_\Gamma)$, and (Y_0, F_0) is a pair of a toric variety and a toric moment map whose moment polytope is $\Theta_\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. Moreover, there is a continuous family of maps $\psi_t : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_{1-t}$ which are symplectomorphisms on an open dense subset and satisfy $\psi_t^* F_{1-t} = F_1 = \Theta_\Gamma$.*

As a corollary, we obtain a new proof of the $|\alpha| < 1$ case of the result of Jeffrey and Weitsman [JW92] that the numbers of lattice points on the moment polytope of the Goldman system is equal to the number of sections of the natural ample line bundle on \mathcal{N}_α provided by GIT construction.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall the description of coherent sheaves on smooth rational orbifold curves due to Geigle and Lenzing [GL87], who call such curves *weighted projective lines*. In Section 3, we recall the relation between quasiparabolic bundles and orbifold bundles. In Section 4, we recall the definition of parabolic weights and stability conditions. In Section 5, we recall the relation between flat $SU(2)$ -bundles and parabolic bundles of rank two and parabolic degree zero. In Section 6, we

show that the moduli space \mathcal{N}_α is the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-3} for a suitable choice of a stability parameter. In Section 7, we recall wall-crossing phenomena in moduli spaces of parabolic bundles following Bauer [Bau91]; the space of stability parameters is divided into finitely many chambers by walls, and the change in moduli spaces under wall-crossing can be described explicitly as a blow-down followed by a blow-up. More general results on variation of geometric invariant theory quotients are obtained by Thaddeus [Tha96] and Dolgachev and Hu [DH98]. In Section 8, we use the wall-crossing phenomena to give an explicit description of \mathcal{N}_α for general α . The strategy is to start with the stability parameter in Section 6 and successively cross walls in the space of stability parameters to arrive at any stability parameter. This strategy is already used in Bauer [Bau91], and the main difference between his work and ours is that we make an extensive use of the language of weighted projective lines, developed by Geigle and Lenzing [GL87], and the chamber that we start with is different from that of Bauer. In Section 9, we give a description of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_w parallel to that of \mathcal{N}_α . This immediately shows that \mathcal{M}_w and \mathcal{N}_α are isomorphic if $w = \alpha$ and $|\alpha| < 1$. In Section 10, we recall the construction of the bending system on \mathcal{M}_w . In Section 11, we recall the description of the symplectic structure given by Guruprasad, Huebschmann, Jeffrey, and Weinstein [GHJW97], and the Goldman system. In Section 12 we recall extended moduli spaces defined by Jeffrey [Jef94] and Hurtubise and Jeffrey [HJ00] to construct \mathcal{N}_α as a finite dimensional symplectic reduction, and as a quasi-Hamiltonian reduction [AMM98]. In Section 13, we see the walls in Section 7 from the view point of quasi-Hamiltonian reduction. In Section 14, we study the Goldman system via gluing of Riemann surface, following the idea of [HJ00] and [AMM98]. In Section 15, we construct a symplectomorphism between \mathcal{N}_α and $\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}$ ($0 < t \leq 1$) which identifies the Goldman systems in the case where $|\alpha| < 1$. In Section 16, we show that \mathcal{M}_α and \mathcal{N}_α are symplectomorphic in such a way that the Goldman system on \mathcal{N}_α and the bending system on \mathcal{M}_w are identified for sufficiently small α . Combining with the result in Section 15, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Acknowledgment: We thank Shinnosuke Okawa for valuable discussions, and the anonymous referee for suggesting improvements. A part of this paper is written while K. U. is visiting Korea Institute for Advanced Study, whose hospitality and financial support is gratefully acknowledged. This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (No.23740055 and No.24740043).

2 Orbifold projective lines

Let \mathbb{X} be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension one without generic stabilizer. We assume that \mathbb{X} is rational, so that the coarse moduli space X of \mathbb{X} is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Such a stack is studied in detail by Geigle and Lenzing [GL87] under the name *weighted projective lines*, and we summarize some of their results in this subsection. One can also see e.g. [Len11] and references therein for more on this subject. Orbifold points of \mathbb{X} will be denoted by w_1, \dots, w_n , and their images in X will be denoted by z_1, \dots, z_n . The absence of generic stabilizer implies that the stabilizer group Γ_{p_i} at w_i for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ is a cyclic group, whose order will be denoted by p_i .

Locally around the orbifold point w_i , we can take an orbifold chart $[\mathbb{A}/\Gamma_{w_i}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ where $\mathbb{A} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u]$ is an affine space and Γ_{w_i} acts linearly by a primitive p_i -th root

of unity. Following [GL87], we let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote the dual of $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_i)$, defined as the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{w_i}$ to the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{w_i} = [(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u]/(u))/\Gamma_{w_i}]$;

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{x}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{w_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

We also define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c})$ as the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(x)$, which does not depend on the choice of a point $x \in \mathbb{X} \setminus \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. One has relations

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(p_i \vec{x}_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the Picard group of \mathbb{X} is given by

$$L = \mathrm{Pic} \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{c} / (p_1 \vec{x}_1 - \vec{c}, \dots, p_n \vec{x}_n - \vec{c}).$$

Choose a global coordinate on $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ so that the points z_1, \dots, z_n on X are given in this coordinate by $\lambda_1 = \infty$, $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. The total coordinate ring of \mathbb{X} is given by

$$S = \bigoplus_{\vec{k} \in L} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{k})) = k[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] / (X_i^{p_i} - X_2^{p_2} + \lambda_i X_1^{p_1})_{i=2}^n,$$

which is graded by the abelian group L as $\deg X_i = \vec{x}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The stack \mathbb{X} is recovered as the quotient stack

$$\mathbb{X} = [(\mathrm{Spec} S \setminus \{0\})/G]$$

by the affine algebraic group $G = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[L]$. The graded ring S is Gorenstein with parameter $\vec{\omega} = (n-2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{x}_i$, and Serre duality on \mathbb{X} is given by

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{\omega}))^\vee$$

for any coherent sheaves \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} .

3 Quasi-parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles

In this section, we recall the relation between quasi-parabolic bundles on punctured curves and orbifold bundles on orbi-curves. Although this is well-known to experts and essentially goes back to [MS80], we provide a sketch of proof here for the readers' convenience.

Let $\tilde{U} = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u]$ be an affine line and $\mathbb{U} = [\tilde{U}/\Gamma]$ be the quotient stack of \tilde{U} with respect to the $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p_j\mathbb{Z}$ -action, which acts on points of \tilde{U} as

$$u \mapsto \zeta^{-1}u, \quad \zeta = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p_j). \quad (3.1)$$

A complex analytic neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{U} is identified with a complex analytic neighborhood of w_j in \mathbb{X} . The coarse moduli space of \mathbb{U} is given by $U = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v]$, where $\mathbb{C}[v] = \mathbb{C}[u]^\Gamma$ for $v = u^{p_j}$ is the invariant ring.

The action of Γ on \tilde{U} induces an action on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[u]$ in such a way that an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ sends a function f to its pull-back $(\gamma^{-1})^*f$ by $\gamma^{-1}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$. It

follows from the definition of sheaves on quotient stacks that a locally-free sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{U} corresponds to a Γ -equivariant locally-free sheaf on \tilde{U} . Since \tilde{U} is affine, a Γ -equivariant locally-free sheaf on $\tilde{U} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u]$ is the same as a free $\mathbb{C}[u]$ -module M , equipped with an action of Γ satisfying

$$\gamma \cdot (fm) = (\gamma \cdot f)(\gamma \cdot m), \quad (3.2)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and $m \in M$. Here \cdot is the Γ -action on $\mathbb{C}[u]$ and M . The crossed product algebra $\mathbb{C}[u] \rtimes \Gamma$ consists of elements of the form $f \otimes \gamma$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, with relations

$$(f \otimes \gamma) \circ (g \otimes \delta) = f(\gamma \cdot g) \otimes (\gamma\delta). \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that a Γ -equivariant $\mathbb{C}[u]$ -module can be identified with a $\mathbb{C}[u] \rtimes \Gamma$ -module.

Let P be a finitely-generated $\mathbb{C}[u] \rtimes \Gamma$ -module. As a Γ -module, it has a direct sum decomposition $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p_j} P_i$ into isotypical components, where the generator $[1] \in \Gamma$ acts on P_i by multiplication by $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(i-1)/p_j)$. The $\mathbb{C}[u]$ -module structure is determined by the action of u , which is just a collection of \mathbb{C} -linear maps

$$u : P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/p_j\mathbb{Z}.$$

Each P_i is a $\mathbb{C}[v]$ -module, and multiplication by u is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[v]$ -modules, which must satisfy

$$u^m = v : P_i \rightarrow P_{i-m}.$$

In terms of sheaves \mathcal{P}_i of \mathcal{O}_U -modules associated with $\mathbb{C}[v]$ -modules P_i , this gives a *quasi-parabolic sheaf*, defined as an infinite sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{P}_i \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{P}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{u} \cdots \quad (3.4)$$

such that $\mathcal{P}_{i+p_j} = \mathcal{P}_i(-z_j)$ and the composition

$$\mathcal{P}_{i+p_j} \xrightarrow{u^{p_j}} \mathcal{P}_i$$

is equal to the multiplication

$$\mathcal{P}_i(-z_j) \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{P}_i$$

by v for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A *morphism* of quasi-parabolic sheaves is a collection $f_i : \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i$ of morphisms making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{P}_i & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{P}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{u} & \cdots \\ & & f_i \downarrow & & f_{i+1} \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{Q}_i & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathcal{Q}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{u} & \cdots \end{array}$$

commutative. Under the correspondence between $\mathbb{C}[v]$ -modules with quasi-parabolic structures and $\mathbb{C}[u] \rtimes \Gamma$ -modules, a morphism of quasi-parabolic sheaves can be identified with a morphism of $\mathbb{C}[u] \rtimes \Gamma$ -modules. By using this correspondence around each orbifold points, one obtains the following:

Proposition 3.1. *The category of quasi-parabolic sheaves on X is equivalent to the category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{X} .*

If P is locally-free, then multiplication by v is an injection, so that (3.4) gives a filtration

$$\mathcal{P}_1(-z_j) \cong \mathcal{P}_{p_j+1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{p_j} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$$

of sheaves, which in turn gives a filtration

$$0 = F_{p_j+1}(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) \subset F_{p_j}(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) \subset \cdots \subset F_1(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = \mathcal{P}_{z_j}$$

of the fiber $\mathcal{P}_{z_j} = \mathcal{P}_1/v \cdot \mathcal{P}_1$ of \mathcal{P}_1 at z_j . A pair consisting of a locally-free sheaf and a filtration at each z_j is called a *quasi-parabolic bundle*. A morphism of quasi-parabolic bundles \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} can be described, in terms of a filtration at each z_j , as a morphism φ of the underlying vector bundle such that $\varphi(F_i(\mathcal{P}_{z_j})) \subset F_i(\mathcal{Q}_{z_j})$. The equivalence in Proposition 3.1 restricts to an equivalence between the category of vector bundles on \mathbb{X} and the category of quasi-parabolic bundles on X .

4 Parabolic weights and stability conditions

Assume that the stabilizer groups at all orbifold points are cyclic groups of order two; $\Gamma_{w_j} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. A vector bundle on \mathbb{X} corresponds to a quasi-parabolic bundle consisting vector bundle \mathcal{P} on X and 2-step flags

$$0 = F_3(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) \subset F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) \subset F_1(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = \mathcal{P}_{z_j}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. The Picard group of \mathbb{X} is given by

$$L = \text{Pic } \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{c}/(2\vec{x}_1 - \vec{c}, \dots, 2\vec{x}_n - \vec{c}).$$

The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}$ corresponds to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_X$ equipped with the filtration $F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = 0$ for any z_j . The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i)$ corresponds to the trivial bundle $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_X$ equipped with the filtration

$$F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{z_j} & i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A parabolic bundle is a quasi-parabolic bundle together with a choice of parabolic weights

$$(a_{j,1}, a_{j,2}) \in \mathbb{Q}^2, \quad 0 \leq a_{j,1} < a_{j,2} < 1$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. We always assume that a parabolic weight satisfies $a_{j,1} + a_{j,2} = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ in this paper. Any subbundle \mathcal{E} of a parabolic bundle \mathcal{P} has a natural parabolic structure whose quasi-parabolic structure is given by

$$F_i(\mathcal{E}_{z_j}) = F_i(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{z_j}$$

with the same parabolic weight as \mathcal{P} . The *parabolic degree* of \mathcal{P} is defined by

$$\text{par deg } \mathcal{P} = \deg \mathcal{P} + \sum_{j=1}^n [a_{j,1}(\dim F_1(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) - \dim F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j})) + a_{j,2} \dim F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j})].$$

For example, if $\text{rank } \mathcal{P} = 2$ and

$$\dim F_1(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) - \dim F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = \dim F_2(\mathcal{P}_{z_j}) = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then the parabolic degree of \mathcal{P} is given by

$$\text{par deg } \mathcal{P} = \deg \mathcal{P} + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{j,1} + a_{j,2}) = \deg \mathcal{P} + n.$$

A parabolic bundle is *semi-stable* if one has

$$\frac{\text{par deg } \mathcal{E}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \leq \frac{\text{par deg } \mathcal{P}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{P}} \quad (4.1)$$

for any subbundle $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}$. It is *stable* if the strict inequality holds in (4.1) for any non-trivial subbundle $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$.

The Picard group L of \mathbb{X} acts on \mathbb{Q}^n by

$$\vec{x}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}', \quad \alpha'_j = \begin{cases} \alpha_j & i \neq j, \\ -\alpha_j & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Note that this action factors through $L/(2\vec{c}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. Any element of L can be written uniquely as $\vec{k} = k_1\vec{x}_1 + \dots + k_n\vec{x}_n + k_0\vec{c}$, where $k_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the parabolic degree of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ is given by

$$\text{par deg}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathcal{O}(\vec{k}) = |\vec{k}| + |\vec{k}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})| := k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_n + (-1)^{k_1}\alpha_1 + \dots + (-1)^{k_n}\alpha_n.$$

5 Moduli spaces of parabolic bundles

Mehta and Seshadri [MS80] constructed the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ of semistable parabolic bundles, which is a normal projective variety parametrizing S-equivalence classes of semistable parabolic bundles. They have also shown that the open subvariety $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^s \subset \mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ parametrizing stable parabolic bundles of parabolic degree zero is diffeomorphic to the moduli space of irreducible unitary representations of the fundamental group of $X^\circ := X \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$;

$$\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^s \cong \{ \rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X^\circ), SU(2))_{\text{irred}} \mid \rho(\gamma_j) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_j} \} / \sim. \quad (5.1)$$

Here $\gamma_j \in \pi_1(X^\circ)$ is a loop around z_j , and $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_j} \subset SU(2)$ is the conjugacy class containing $\exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{diag}(a_{j,1}, a_{j,2})]$. The equivalence relation \sim is defined by conjugation; two representations ρ and ρ' are equivalent if there is some $g \in SU(2)$ such that $\rho'(\gamma) = g\rho(\gamma)g^{-1}$ for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X^\circ)$. A parabolic weight is *generic* if semistability implies stability. If the parabolic weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is generic, then the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is smooth.

The diffeomorphism (5.1) is given as follows: For any irreducible unitary representation ρ of $\pi_1(X^\circ)$, one has the flat \mathbb{C}^2 -bundle E_ρ on X° associated with ρ . By tensoring E_ρ with the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_{X° over the constant sheaf \mathbb{C}_{X° , one obtains a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}^\rho := E_\rho \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{X^\circ}} \mathcal{O}_{X^\circ}$ on X° . Around each puncture $z_j \in X$, we take a coordinate v centered at z_j , and consider the universal cover

$$\{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} \mid y \gg 1\} \rightarrow X^\circ, \quad x + \sqrt{-1}y \mapsto v = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}(x + \sqrt{-1}y)]$$

of a small disk centered at z_j . Let $g = \rho(\gamma_j) \in SU(2)$ be the holonomy of the flat bundle E_ρ around z_j . Then a holomorphic section of \mathcal{E}° near z_j is a holomorphic function $f : \{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} \mid y \gg 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying $f((x+1) + \sqrt{-1}y) = g \cdot f(x + \sqrt{-1}y)$, and one defines the locally-free extension \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{E}° by saying that f gives a holomorphic section of \mathcal{E} near z_j if f is bounded. By a suitable choice of a coordinate of \mathbb{C}^2 , one can assume that g is diagonal; $g = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag}(a_{j,1}, a_{j,2})]$. Then the space of holomorphic sections of \mathcal{E} is spanned by $v \mapsto (v^{\alpha_j+k}, v^{(1-\alpha_j)+l})$ for non-negative integers k and l . The quasi-parabolic structure of \mathcal{E} at z_j is defined as the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 0)$ in

$$\bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \cdot (v^{\alpha_j+k}, v^{(1-\alpha_j)+l}) \bigg/ v \cdot \bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \cdot (v^{\alpha_j+k}, v^{(1-\alpha_j)+l}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2.$$

6 The moduli space for a distinguished stability parameter

Let \mathcal{N}_α be the moduli space of semistable parabolic bundles of rank two and parabolic degree zero on $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ with n marked points (z_1, \dots, z_n) . Here, the stability parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 1/2)^n$ is related to the parabolic weight $a = ((a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}), \dots, (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2})) \in ((0, 1) \times (0, 1))^n$ by $(a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}) = (\alpha_i, 1 - \alpha_i)$. The vector bundle \mathcal{P} on \mathbb{X} corresponding to a parabolic bundle in \mathcal{N}_α has the same class as $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s})$ in the Grothendieck group $K(\mathbb{X})$ where $\vec{s} = \vec{x}_1 + \dots + \vec{x}_n$. Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) &= 0, \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{\omega} + \vec{x}_n))^\vee \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-2)\vec{c} - \vec{s} + \vec{x}_n))^\vee \\ &= 0, \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{s} - \vec{x}_n)) &\cong \mathbb{C}, \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}(\vec{s} - \vec{x}_n)) &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{\omega} - \vec{s} + \vec{x}_n))^\vee \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-2)\vec{c} - \vec{s} - \vec{s} + \vec{x}_n))^\vee \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-2)\vec{c} - n\vec{c} + \vec{x}_n))^\vee \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_n - 2\vec{c}))^\vee \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\omega}) = \mathcal{O}((n-2)\vec{c} - \vec{s})$ is the dualizing sheaf, one has

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}) = \chi(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(\vec{s} - n)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}(\vec{s} - \vec{x}_n)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) = 1,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}) \neq 0.$$

By taking the saturation of the image of a non-zero morphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})$, one obtains a subbundle of \mathcal{P} of the form $\mathcal{L}(\vec{k})$ where $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}\vec{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{N}\vec{x}_n$. Note that

$$\operatorname{par deg}_\alpha \mathcal{L}(\vec{k}) > \operatorname{par deg}_\alpha \mathcal{L} = -\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n,$$

so that $\mathcal{L}(\vec{k})$ destabilizes \mathcal{P} if

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} < \alpha_n.$$

This defines a chamber in the space of stability parameters, where every bundle is unstable and $\mathcal{N}_\alpha = \emptyset$. The quotient bundle is

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{L}(\vec{k}) \cong \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k}),$$

and the destabilizing sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n + \vec{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider vector bundles obtained as extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

which are classified by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{P}} &\in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) \\ &= H^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n + \vec{x}_n)) \\ &= H^1(\mathcal{O}(\vec{c} - \vec{s})) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-2)\vec{c} - \vec{s} - \vec{c} + \vec{s}))^\vee \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c}))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Given a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

between two such bundles \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' , one obtains a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

since

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{s} - 2\vec{x}_n)) = 0.$$

It follows that the isomorphism classes of such \mathcal{P} are classified by

$$\mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) \cong \mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c}))^\vee \cong \text{Sym}^{n-3} \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *One has $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ if $2\alpha_n < |\alpha| < 1$ and $|\alpha| - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_n < 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a rank 2 bundle on \mathbb{X} obtained as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Note that

$$\text{par deg}_{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) = -\alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n = -|\alpha| + 2\alpha_n,$$

so that $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)$ does not destabilize \mathcal{P} if $2\alpha_n < |\alpha|$. If a line bundle \mathcal{L} other than $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)$ has a non-trivial morphism to \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{L} has a non-trivial morphism to $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)$, so that it can be written as $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k})$ for some $\vec{k} = k_1\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + k_n\vec{x}_n + k_0\vec{c}$ where $k_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Its parabolic degree is given by

$$\text{par deg}_{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k}) = \begin{cases} -k_0 + |\alpha| - 2 \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i - 2\alpha_n & k_n = 0, \\ -k_0 - 1 + |\alpha| - 2 \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i & k_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \mid k_i = 1\}$. Note that if the extension (6.1) does not split, then one has $\vec{k} \neq 0$. For $\vec{k} \neq 0$, the conditions $|\alpha| - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_n < 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $|\alpha| < 1$ imply that

$$\text{par deg}_{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k}) < 0,$$

so that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k})$ does not destabilize \mathcal{P} . The same condition also implies that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n + \vec{k})$ destabilizes any vector bundle \mathcal{P} obtained as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n + \vec{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n - \vec{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

for any non-zero $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}\vec{x}_n$, and Proposition 6.1 is proved. \square

7 Wall-crossings in moduli spaces of parabolic bundles

The space $A = [0, 1/2)^n$ of stability parameters is divided into chambers by walls

$$H_{I,k} = \left\{ \alpha \in A \mid \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j - \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i = k \right\},$$

where $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $J = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ and k is a non-negative integer. Let C_+ and C_- be two chambers separated by the wall $W_{I,k}$ and take stability parameters $\alpha_+ \in C_+$, $\alpha_- \in C_-$ and $\alpha_0 \in W_{I,k}$. There is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_+} & & \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_-} \\ & \searrow \phi_+ & \swarrow \phi_- \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_0} & \end{array} \quad (7.1)$$

where $\phi_{\pm} : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{\pm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_0}$ are natural projective morphisms sending a α_{\pm} -stable bundle to the S-equivalence class of the same bundle considered as an α_0 -semistable bundle. Let $\Sigma_{\alpha_{\pm}} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{\pm}}$ be the subscheme parametrizing α_{\mp} -unstable bundles.

Proposition 7.1 (Bauer [Bau91, Proposition 2.7]). *The following hold:*

1. If we set $\Sigma_{\alpha_0} := \phi_+(\Sigma_{\alpha_+})$, then one has $\Sigma_{\alpha_0} = \phi_-(\Sigma_{\alpha_-})$.
2. Any point in Σ_{α_0} can be written as $[\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}]$ where $\text{par deg}_{\alpha_+}(\mathcal{S}) = -\text{par deg}_{\alpha_+}(\mathcal{Q}) < 0$ and $\text{par deg}_{\alpha_-}(\mathcal{S}) = -\text{par deg}_{\alpha_-}(\mathcal{Q}) > 0$.
3. $\phi_+^{-1}([\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}]) \cong \mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})^\vee$.
4. $\phi_-^{-1}([\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}]) \cong \mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q})^\vee$.

Proof. For any bundle \mathcal{P} in Σ_{α_+} , let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.2)$$

be the α_- -destabilizing sequence. Since \mathcal{P} is of rank two, both the destabilizing subbundle \mathcal{S} and the quotient bundle \mathcal{Q} are line bundles. Any point in the fiber of ϕ_+ above the point $[\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}] \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_0}$ is given by the extension of the form (7.2), and any such extension is α_+ -stable, so that one has $\phi_+^{-1}([\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}]) \cong \mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})^\vee$. The fiber of ϕ_- is obtained by exchanging the roles of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{Q} , and Proposition 7.1 is proved. \square

If α_0 does not lie on any other wall, then Σ_{α_0} consists of one point, and the diagram (7.1) is a blow-down followed by a blow-up. It may also happen that ϕ_+ or ϕ_- is an isomorphism.

8 Detailed description of the wall-crossing

Recall that X is the coarse moduli space of \mathbb{X} , and one has a natural isomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((n-3)\vec{c})) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n-3))$. Since X is a projective line, one has

$$\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n-3)) \cong \text{Sym}^{n-3} X \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}.$$

The Veronese embedding is the diagonal map $X \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^{n-3} X$ sending a point $x \in X$ to $[x, \dots, x] \in \text{Sym}^{n-3} X$. For $\vec{k} = \sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i + k_0 \vec{c} \in L$ where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the \vec{k} -th secant variety $V(\vec{k}) \subset \text{Sym}^{n-3} X$ is defined by

$$V(\vec{k}) = \begin{cases} z_{i_1} * \dots * z_{i_r} * \text{Sec}_{k_0}(X) & k_0 \geq 0, \\ \emptyset & k_0 < 0, \end{cases}$$

where X and the marked points $z_k \in X$ are considered as subvarieties of $\text{Sym}^{n-3} X$ by the Veronese embedding. Here, the join $A * B$ of two subvarieties of a projective space is the union $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} \ell_{a,b}$ of lines $\ell_{a,b}$ passing through points $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and the k_0 -th secant variety $\text{Sec}_{k_0}(X) = X * \dots * X$ is the join of k_0 copies of X .

Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ be its complement. Assume that one has $-\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{+,i} + \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{+,j} - k < 0$ and $-\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{-,i} + \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{-,j} - k > 0$. If a vector bundle \mathcal{P} admits a non-trivial homomorphism from the line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O} \left(-\vec{s} + \sum_{j \in J} \vec{x}_j - k\vec{c} \right), \quad \text{par deg}_\alpha \mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i + \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j - k,$$

then its saturation destabilizes the bundle \mathcal{P} with respect to the stability parameter α_- . Assume that \mathcal{P} is given as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n) \rightarrow 0$$

classified by an element

$$e_{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c}))^\vee,$$

and $\mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)$ does not destabilize \mathcal{P} with respect to the stability parameter α_- . Then one has $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) = 0$ and the α_- -destabilizing morphism $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ must come from a non-trivial morphism $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)$. Conversely, a non-trivial morphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n))$ lifts to a non-trivial morphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})$ if and only if $e_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \varphi \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n))$ vanishes. Under the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_n + k\vec{c})), \\ \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c}))^\vee, \\ \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) &\cong H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c} - (\sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_n + k\vec{c})))^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

the Yoneda product

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n)) \otimes \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}(-\vec{s} + \vec{x}_n))$$

corresponds to the composition

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c} - (\sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_n + k\vec{c}))) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_n + k\vec{c})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}((n-3)\vec{c})),$$

so that there is a non-trivial morphism $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ if and only if

$$[e_{\mathcal{P}}] \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(n-3)) \cong \text{Sym}^{n-3} X \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$$

belongs to the secant variety $V(\sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_n + k\vec{c})$.

Remark 8.1. Bauer uses a different parametrization of the space of stability parameters, and the stability parameter that he has chosen as the starting point is written as

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2n-2}, \frac{n-2}{2n-2}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2n-2} \right) & n \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{n-2}{2n-2}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2n-2} \right) & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

in the notation here, which does not satisfy $|\alpha| < 1$. The advantage of this stability parameter is that the underlying bundle of a stable parabolic bundle is always given by

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(-n/2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n/2), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \mathcal{O}(-(n+1)/2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-(n-1)/2), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (8.2)$$

For example, if n is even and the underlying bundle is $\mathcal{O}(-n/2 - k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n/2 + k)$ for some $k > 0$, then the parabolic degree of the subbundle $\mathcal{O}(-n/2 + k)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{par deg } \mathcal{O}(-n/2 + k) &\geq \deg \mathcal{O}(-n/2 + k) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \\ &= -n/2 + k + \frac{1}{2n-2} + (n-1)\frac{n-2}{2n-2} \\ &= k - 1 + \frac{1}{2n-2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The discussion so far can be summarized as Theorem 8.2 below, which is a variation of [Bau91, Theorem 2.9]. For the sake of simplicity of the exposition, we restrict ourselves to the case $|\alpha| < 1$, which is the case of interest for the purpose of this paper; this allows us to deal only with walls $H_{I,k}$ with $k = 0$.

Theorem 8.2. *The moduli space \mathcal{N}_α for any parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ satisfying $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n < 1$ is described as follows:*

0. Assume $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ by reordering the points if necessary. Set $\beta_0 = (r\alpha_1, \dots, r\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n)$ for a sufficiently small positive number r , so that β_0 belongs to the chamber described in Proposition 6.1 and one has $\mathcal{N}_{\beta_0} \cong \text{Sym}^{n-3} X \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$.
1. We first cross walls of the form $H_{\{i,n\},0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ satisfying

$$|\alpha| - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_n > 0. \quad (8.3)$$

When we cross the wall $H_{\{i,n\},0}$, the moduli space is blown-up at the point $z_i \in X \subset \text{Sym}^{n-3} X \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$. After crossing all these walls, we arrive at the stability parameter β_1 such that \mathcal{N}_{β_1} is obtained from \mathcal{N}_{β_0} by blowing up the points z_i for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ satisfying (8.3).

2. We then cross walls of the form $H_{\{i_1, i_2, n\},0}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n-1$ satisfying

$$|\alpha| - 2\alpha_{i_1} - 2\alpha_{i_2} - 2\alpha_n > 0.$$

When we cross the wall $H_{\{i_1, i_2, n\},0}$, the moduli space is blown-down along the strict transform of the line passing through z_{i_1} and z_{i_2} , and then blown-up in the other direction so that the exceptional divisor is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-5} . In other words, we blow-up the moduli space along the strict transform of the line passing through z_{i_1} and z_{i_2} , and contract it down to the other direction.

3. In the r -th step, we cross the walls $H_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\},0}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n-1$ satisfying

$$|\alpha| - 2\alpha_{i_1} - \dots - 2\alpha_{i_r} - 2\alpha_n > 0.$$

Note that this condition can be written as

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_r} + \alpha_n < \alpha_{j_1} + \dots + \alpha_{j_{n-r-1}}$$

where $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r-1}\}$ is the complement of $\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\}$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. When we cross the wall $H_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\},0}$, the moduli space is blown-up along the strict transform of

the $(r-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace spanned by z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_r} , and then contracted to the other direction. This is a birational transformation which replaces \mathbb{P}^{r-1} with \mathbb{P}^{n-r-4} .

4. By successively crossing the walls as above, we arrive at the chamber containing α .

9 Wall crossing in \mathcal{M}_w

Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a stability parameter for the moduli space of ordered n -points on \mathbb{P}^1 , which can be taken from

$$W = \{w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \mid |w| = w_1 + \dots + w_n = 2\}$$

by rescaling w if necessary; unlike the moduli space \mathcal{N}_α , the overall rescaling of w only changes the ample \mathbb{Q} -line bundle on \mathcal{M}_w and does not affect the moduli space \mathcal{M}_w . A configuration (x_1, \dots, x_n) of ordered n points on \mathbb{P}^1 is w -semistable if for any point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$, one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x, x_i} w_i \leq 1.$$

The moduli space \mathcal{M}_w contains the configuration space

$$X(2, n) = ((\mathbb{P}^1)^n \setminus \Delta) / PGL_2$$

of n points on \mathbb{P}^1 as an open subscheme if and only if $w \in (0, 1)^n$, where

$$\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$$

is the big diagonal. By normalizing the last three points as $(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (0, 1, \infty)$ by the PGL_2 -action, one can realize $X(2, n)$ as an open subscheme

$$X(2, n) \cong \{[x_1 : \dots : x_{n-3} : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-3} \mid x_i \neq 0, 1, x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\},$$

which is the complement of a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{P}^{n-3} .

Walls in the space W of stability parameters are given by

$$H_I = \{w \in W \mid \sum_{i \in I} w_i = 1\}$$

for a proper subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that $\sum_{i \in I} w_i = 1$ implies $\sum_{j \in J} w_j = 1$ for $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$. Let C_+ and C_- be two chambers separated by the wall W_I , and take stability conditions $w_+ \in C_+$, $w_- \in C_-$, and $w_0 \in W_I$. Assume that $\sum_{i \in I} w_{i,+} > 1$, $\sum_{i \in I} w_{i,-} < 1$, and w_0 is not on any other walls. Then one has a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{w_+} & & \mathcal{M}_{w_-} \\ & \searrow \phi_+ & \swarrow \phi_- \\ & \mathcal{M}_{w_0} & \end{array} \tag{9.1}$$

where ϕ_+ blows-down the subvariety

$$S_{w_+} = \{x_{j_1} = \dots = x_{j_{n-r}}\} \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$$

of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_+}$ to the subvariety

$$S_{\mathbf{w}_0} = \{x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_r}, x_{j_1} = \cdots = x_{j_{n-r}}\}$$

of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_0}$ consisting of just one point, and ϕ_- blows-down the subvariety

$$S_{\mathbf{w}_-} = \{x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_r}\} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-r-2}$$

of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_-}$ to the same point in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_0}$. This wall-crossing is described in [Man14, Theorem 4.1] from a symplectic point of view.

The diagram (9.1) for the special case $I = \{n\}$ gives a wall-crossing from the empty space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_+} = S_{\mathbf{w}_+} \cong \mathbb{P}^{-1} = \emptyset$ to the projective space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_-} = S_{\mathbf{w}_-} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ through one point $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_0} = S_{\mathbf{w}_0}$. The chamber C_- containing \mathbf{w}_- in this case is defined by

$$C_- = \{\mathbf{w} \in W \mid w_n < 1 \text{ and } w_i + w_n > 1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}. \quad (9.2)$$

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}}$ for $\mathbf{w} \in C_-$ is described explicitly as follows: One can set $x_n = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ by the PGL_2 -action. Since one has $x_i \neq x_n$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ by the stability condition, one must have $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. One can set $x_{n-1} = 0$ by the residual PGL_2 -action, and then one is left with the \mathbb{G}_m -action on \mathbb{A}^{n-2} . The stability condition prohibits $x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-1}$, so that one cannot have $x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-2} = 0$. This shows that one has

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbb{A}^{n-2} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m,$$

which is nothing but the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-3} .

Theorem 9.1. *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}}$ for any stability parameter $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ can be obtained from \mathbb{P}^{n-3} by the following birational transformations: Assume $w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots \leq w_n$ by reordering the points if necessary. We start from the chamber (9.2) and gradually increase w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} and decrease w_n . Set $p_i = [\delta_{i0} : \cdots : \delta_{i,n-2}] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $p_{n-1} = [1 : \cdots : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$.*

1. *We first cross the walls $H_{\{i,n\}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ satisfying $w_i + w_n < 1$. When we cross the wall $H_{\{i,n\}}$, the moduli space is blown-up at the point p_i .*
2. *We then cross the walls $H_{\{i_1, i_2, n\}}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n-1$ satisfying $w_{i_1} + w_{i_2} + w_n < 1$. When we cross the wall $H_{\{i_1, i_2, n\}}$, the moduli space is blown-down along the strict transform of the line passing through p_{i_1} and p_{i_2} , and then blown-up in the other direction, so that the exceptional divisor is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-5} . In other words, we blow-up the moduli space along the strict transform of the line passing through p_{i_1} and p_{i_2} , and contract it down to the other direction.*
3. *In the r -th step, we cross the walls $H_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\}}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n-1$ satisfying $w_{i_1} + \cdots + w_{i_r} + w_n < 1$. Note that this condition is equivalent to $w_{i_1} + \cdots + w_{i_r} + w_n < w_{j_1} + \cdots + w_{j_{n-r-1}}$ where $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r-1}\}$ is the complement of $\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\}$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. When we cross the wall $H_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r, n\}}$, the moduli space is blown-up along the strict transform of the $(r-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace spanned by p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_r} , and then contracted down to the other direction. This is a birational transformation which replaces \mathbb{P}^{r-1} with \mathbb{P}^{n-r-4} .*

4. By successively crossing the walls as above, we arrive at the chamber containing \mathbf{w} .

Example 9.2. Set $n = 5$ and

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5} \right).$$

We consider the straight line segment

$$\mathbf{w}_t = (1 - t)\mathbf{w}_0 + t\mathbf{w}_1$$

starting from the stability parameter

$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \left(\frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{3}{4} \right)$$

in the chamber (9.2) satisfying $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_0} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. The wall-crossing takes place at $t = \frac{5}{21}$ and

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right),$$

where the points $x_i = x_j = x_k$ for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$ are stable for $t \leq \frac{5}{21}$ and unstable for $t > \frac{5}{21}$. These points are blown-up by the wall-crossing, so that the point $x_i = x_j = x_k$ is replaced by the exceptional divisor $x_\ell = x_5$ where $\{i, j, k, \ell\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. With respect to the normalization

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x, y, 1, 0, \infty),$$

four points at the center of the blow-up are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = x_2 = x_3 & : [x : y : 1] = [1 : 1 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2, \\ x_1 = x_2 = x_4 & : [x : y : 1] = [0 : 0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2, \\ x_1 = x_3 = x_4 & : [x : y : 1] = [0 : 1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^2, \\ x_2 = x_3 = x_4 & : [x : y : 1] = [1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^2. \end{aligned}$$

These points are in general position, so that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{w}_1}$ is \mathbb{P}^2 blown-up at four points in general position.

Now we are ready to prove the following:

Theorem 9.3. *Let α be a stability parameter for the moduli space of parabolic bundles satisfying $|\alpha| < 1$, and $\mathbf{w} = 2\alpha/|\alpha|$ be the corresponding normalized stability parameter for the moduli space ordered n points on \mathbb{P}^1 . Then one has an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{N}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{M}_\mathbf{w}$$

of algebraic varieties.

Proof. Since the wall-crossing in \mathcal{N}_α and $\mathcal{M}_\mathbf{w}$ described in Theorem 8.2 and Theorem 9.1 are identical, it suffices to show the existence of an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{M}_\mathbf{w}$$

for a stability parameter α satisfying the condition in Proposition 6.1, such that the points $z_i \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ are mapped to $p_i \in \mathcal{M}_\mathbf{w}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. This is clear, since both moduli spaces are $(n - 3)$ -dimensional projective spaces and the points are $n - 1$ points in general position. \square

A more general result, which gives an isomorphism between the moduli space of parabolic G -bundles for a simply-connected simple algebraic group G and a GIT quotient of a product of flag varieties, is shown in [Man, Proposition 4.8].

10 Bending systems on \mathcal{M}_w

Let $G = SU(2)$, and identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ with its dual by the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $T \subset G$ be the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices, and take a base

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi\sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T . For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of αx_0 has a natural symplectic form called the Kostant-Kirillov form, as follows. Recall that a tangent vector of \mathcal{O}_α at x can be written as $\text{ad}_\xi(x) = [x, \xi]$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. The Kostant-Kirillov form $\omega_{\mathcal{O}_\alpha}$ is given by

$$\omega_{\mathcal{O}_\alpha}(\text{ad}_\xi(x), \text{ad}_\eta(x)) = \langle x, [\xi, \eta] \rangle.$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n$, we define $\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \prod_i \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}^n$ with the i -th projection $\text{pr}_i : \mathcal{O}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The diagonal G -action on \mathcal{O}_α is Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic form $\sum_i \text{pr}_i^* \omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i}}$, and its moment map is given by

$$\mu : \mathcal{O}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto x_1 + \dots + x_n.$$

From the Kirwan-Kempf-Ness Theorem, the symplectic reduction

$$\mu^{-1}(0)/G = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0\}/G \quad (10.1)$$

is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{M}_w for $w = 2\alpha/|\alpha|$, and the induced symplectic form is compatible with the complex structure (on the smooth locus of \mathcal{M}_w). In what follows we write this space as \mathcal{M}_α to emphasize its symplectic structure $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha}$. Note that $(\mathcal{M}_{k\alpha}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{k\alpha}})$ is symplectomorphic to $(\mathcal{M}_\alpha, k\omega_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha})$ for $k > 0$. The expression (10.1) shows that \mathcal{M}_α parametrizes n -gons in $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ with fixed side lengths $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ modulo Euclidean motions.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ denote side edge vectors of a reference n -gon $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, satisfying $e_1 + \dots + e_n = 0$. For a diagonal $d = e_i + e_{i+1} + \dots + e_{i+k}$ of P , we define $\varphi_d : \mathcal{M}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the length function

$$\varphi_d(\mathbf{x}) = |x_i + x_{i+1} + \dots + x_{i+k}|$$

of the corresponding diagonal in \mathbf{x} . This function is called a *bending Hamiltonian*, since the Hamiltonian flow of φ_d bends n -gons around the diagonal corresponding to d (see [KM96] or [Kly94]).

We fix a triangulation of P given by $n-3$ diagonals d_1, \dots, d_{n-3} which do not intersect in the interior of P , and let Γ denote its dual graph. Note that Γ is a trivalent tree with n leaves. The bending system associated to Γ is defined by

$$\Phi_\Gamma = (\varphi_{d_1}, \dots, \varphi_{d_{n-3}}) : \mathcal{M}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}.$$

Theorem 10.1 (Kapovich and Millson [KM96], Klyachko [Kly94]). *The $(n - 3)$ -tuple of functions Φ_Γ is a completely integrable system on \mathcal{M}_α . The functions φ_{d_i} are action variables, and hence define a Hamiltonian torus action on an open dense subset where φ_{d_i} are smooth. The image*

$$\Delta_\Gamma(\alpha) := \Phi_\Gamma(\mathcal{M}_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$$

is a convex polytope defined by triangle inequalities.

11 Goldman systems on \mathcal{N}_α

Let $(X, (z_1, \dots, z_n))$ be a projective line with n marked points. For each marked point $z_i \in X$, we take a small open disk $D_i \subset X$ around z_i such that $\overline{D_i} \cap \overline{D_j} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and set $\Sigma = X \setminus (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n)$. Then the fundamental group of Σ is given by

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \mid \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n = 1 \rangle,$$

where γ_i is the homotopy class representing the i -th boundary component ∂D_i .

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 1/2)^n$, let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i} \subset G$ denote the conjugacy class of $e^{\alpha_i x_0} = \text{diag}(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i}, e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i})$, and set $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i} \subset G^n$. As recalled in Section 5, the moduli space of parabolic $SU(2)$ -bundles on X with parabolic weight α can be identified with the moduli space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) &:= \{ \rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), G) \mid \rho(\gamma_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \dots, n \} / G \\ &\cong \{ \mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mid g_1 \dots g_n = 1 \} / G \end{aligned}$$

of G -representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Since \mathcal{C}_{α_i} is a geodesic sphere around the identity, $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is regarded as a moduli space of n -gons in $G \cong S^3$ with fixed side lengths (cf. e.g. [MP01]).

We recall the description of the symplectic structure on $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ given in [GHJW97]. Fix a representation ρ in

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha = \{ \rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), G) \mid \rho(\gamma_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \dots, n \}$$

and let \mathbf{g}_ρ denote the representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ on \mathfrak{g} given by

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\rho} G \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Take a curve ρ_t in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$ with $\rho_0 = \rho$ and set $u = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \rho_t : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Then ρ_t can be written as

$$\rho_t(\gamma) = \exp(tu(\gamma) + O(t^2))\rho(\gamma).$$

The homomorphism condition $\rho_t(\gamma\gamma') = \rho_t(\gamma)\rho_t(\gamma')$ implies that

$$u(\gamma\gamma') = u(\gamma) + \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)} u(\gamma'). \quad (11.1)$$

From the boundary condition $\rho_t(\gamma_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i}$, we have $\rho_t(\gamma_i) = g_{i,t}^{-1} \rho(\gamma_i) g_{i,t}$ for some $g_{i,t} \in G$. This implies that

$$u(\gamma_i) = \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma_i)} \xi_i - \xi_i \quad (11.2)$$

for each i , where $\xi_i = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} g_{i,t} \in \mathfrak{g}$. Namely, $T_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$ is identified with the space of *parabolic 1-cocycles*:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha &\cong Z_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho) \\ &= \{u : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \mid u \text{ satisfies (11.1) and (11.2)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the tangent space to the G -orbit of ρ is spanned by *parabolic 1-coboundaries*

$$u(\gamma) = \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)} \xi - \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $B_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ denote the vector space of parabolic 1-coboundaries. Then the tangent space $T_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$ at ρ is identified with the *first parabolic cohomology*

$$H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho) = Z_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho) / B_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho).$$

The space of 2-chains $C_2(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by symbols $[\gamma|\gamma']$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$, and the cup product

$$\cup : H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho) \times H_{\text{par}}^1(\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathfrak{g}_\rho) \longrightarrow H^2(\pi_1(\Sigma), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathbb{R})$$

is given by

$$(u \cup v)([\gamma|\gamma']) = \langle u(\gamma), \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)} v(\gamma') \rangle$$

for 1-cocycles u, v . In what follows we write $\text{Ad}_\gamma = \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)}$ for short. The relative fundamental class in $H_2(\pi_1(\Sigma), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma); \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by

$$[\pi_1(\Sigma), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i | \gamma_{i+1}].$$

Theorem 11.1 (Guruprasad et al. [GHJW97, Key Lemma 8.4]). *Let u, v be parabolic 1-cocycles such that $u(\gamma_i) = \text{Ad}_{\gamma_i} \xi_i - \xi_i$ and $v(\gamma_i) = \text{Ad}_{\gamma_i} \eta_i - \eta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, respectively. Then the symplectic form on $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is given by*

$$\omega_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha}(u, v) = (u \cup v)([\pi_1, \partial\pi_1]) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\langle \xi_i, \text{Ad}_{\gamma_i} \eta_i \rangle - \langle \eta_i, \text{Ad}_{\gamma_i} \xi_i \rangle). \quad (11.3)$$

For a later use, we write the first term of (11.3) more explicitly. By using (11.1) inductively, we have

$$u(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i) = \sum_{k=1}^i \text{Ad}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1}} u(\gamma_k) = \sum_{k=1}^i \text{Ad}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1}} (\text{Ad}_{\gamma_k} \xi_k - \xi_k).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u \cup v)([\pi_1, \partial\pi_1]) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle u(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i), \text{Ad}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i} v(\gamma_{i+1}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^i \langle \text{Ad}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1}} u(\gamma_k), \text{Ad}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i} v(\gamma_{i+1}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^i \langle u(\gamma_k), \text{Ad}_{\gamma_k \dots \gamma_i} v(\gamma_{i+1}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^i \langle \text{Ad}_{\gamma_k} \xi_k - \xi_k, \text{Ad}_{\gamma_k \dots \gamma_i} (\text{Ad}_{\gamma_{i+1}} \eta_{i+1} - \eta_{i+1}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Next we recall a completely integrable system on $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ introduced by Goldman [Gol86]. For a simple closed curve $C \subset \Sigma$, we write $[C] = \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+k}$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, and define a function $\vartheta_C = \theta_{\alpha, C} : \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\vartheta_C(\mathbf{g}) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(g_i g_{i+1} \dots g_{i+k}) \right).$$

Take a set C_1, \dots, C_{n-3} of simple closed curves defining a pair-of-pants decomposition of Σ . Note that the set of such choices is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of trivalent trees Γ with n -leaves. We then obtain a set of $n-3$ functions

$$\Theta_{\alpha, \Gamma} = \Theta_\Gamma = (\vartheta_{C_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{C_{n-3}}) : \mathcal{N}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}.$$

Theorem 11.2 (Goldman [Gol86], Jeffrey and Weitsman [JW92]). *For each pair-of-pants decomposition of Σ with dual graph Γ , the set of functions $\Theta_\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ is a completely integrable system. The functions ϑ_{C_i} are action variables, and hence define a Hamiltonian torus action on an open dense subset of \mathcal{N}_α . The image $\Theta_\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ is a convex polytope given by the inequalities*

$$|u_{k_1} - u_{k_2}| \leq u_{k_3} \leq \min\{u_{k_1} + u_{k_2}, 2 - (u_{k_1} + u_{k_2})\}$$

for each pair-of-pants. In particular, if $|\alpha| < 1$, then the image is given by triangle inequalities, i.e., $\Theta_\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = \Delta_\Gamma(\alpha)$.

12 Extended moduli spaces

Fix base points of ∂D_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let B_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be the loop around ∂D_i starting and ending at the base point on ∂D_i , and A_i for $i = 2, \dots, n$ be the path from the base point on ∂D_i to the base point on ∂D_1 . Then the generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ are given by $\gamma_1 = [B_1], \gamma_2 = [A_2 B_2 A_2^{-1}], \dots, \gamma_n = [A_n B_n A_n^{-1}]$. Let

$$A_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\alpha x_0 \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \alpha \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset \mathfrak{t}$$

denote the fundamental alcove.

Definition 12.1 (Jeffrey [Jef94], Hurtubise and Jeffrey [HJ00, Section 2]). The G -extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ is the space of G -representations of the groupoid generated by A_2, \dots, A_n and B_1, \dots, B_n , or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in G^{n-1} \times G^n \mid b_1(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_n b_n a_n^{-1}) = 1\},$$

where $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$. The T -extended moduli space is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma) = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) \mid b_i \in \exp(A_{\mathfrak{t}}), i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset G^{n-1} \times T^n.$$

The \mathfrak{g} - and \mathfrak{t} -extended moduli spaces are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\Sigma) &= \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) \in G^{n-1} \times \mathfrak{g}^n \mid e^{x_1}(a_2 e^{x_2} a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_n e^{x_n} a_n^{-1}) = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^{\mathfrak{t}}(\Sigma) &= \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) \in G^{n-1} \times \mathfrak{t}^n \mid e^{x_1}(a_2 e^{x_2} a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_n e^{x_n} a_n^{-1}) = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) = (a_2, \dots, a_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Each a_i and b_i are regarded as holonomies of a flat parabolic connection along A_i and B_i , respectively. Note that we have a natural surjection $\mathcal{N}^g(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ given by

$$(a_2, \dots, a_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (a_2, \dots, a_n, e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}).$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma)$ is canonically embedded into $\mathcal{N}^t(\Sigma)$ by

$$(a_2, \dots, a_n, e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}) \mapsto (a_2, \dots, a_n, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Proposition 12.2 ([HJ00, Propositions 2.11 and 2.12]). *The space $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to $G^{2(n-1)}$ by*

$$\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) \rightarrow G^{2(n-1)}, \quad (a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \mapsto (a_2, \dots, a_n, b_2, \dots, b_n),$$

and hence it is smooth. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}^g(\Sigma)$ is smooth outside the subset consisting of (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) satisfying $e^{x_i} = -1$ for all i .

The group G^n acts on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}^g(\Sigma)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= (\sigma_1 a_2 \sigma_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_1 a_n \sigma_n^{-1}, \sigma_1 b_1 \sigma_1^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_n b_n \sigma_n^{-1}), \\ \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) &= (\sigma_1 a_2 \sigma_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_1 a_n \sigma_n^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma_1} x_1, \dots, \text{Ad}_{\sigma_n} x_n), \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in G^n$. These actions induce T^n -actions

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= (\sigma_1 a_2 \sigma_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_1 a_n \sigma_n^{-1}, b_1, \dots, b_n), \\ \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) &= (\sigma_1 a_2 \sigma_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_1 a_n \sigma_n^{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}^t(\Sigma)$, respectively.

Proposition 12.3 ([Jef94], [HJ00, Proposition 2.14]). *There exists a closed two-form on $\mathcal{N}^g(\Sigma)$ which is non-degenerate on an open dense subset, and for which the map*

$$\mu^g : \mathcal{N}^g(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^n, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto -\mathbf{x} = (-x_1, \dots, -x_n)$$

is the moment map of the G^n -action. The symplectic reduction $(\mu^g)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G^n$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ admits a structure of quasi-Hamiltonian G^n -space. We briefly recall the notion of quasi-Hamiltonian spaces introduced by Alekseev, Malkin and Meinrenken [AMM98].

Given a compact connected Lie group K with an invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} , let θ (resp. $\bar{\theta}$) be the left-invariant (resp. right-invariant) Maurer-Cartan form, and let

$$\chi = \frac{1}{12} \langle \theta, [\theta, \theta] \rangle = \frac{1}{12} \langle \bar{\theta}, [\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}] \rangle$$

be the canonical bi-invariant 3-form on K .

Definition 12.4 (Alekseev, Malkin, and Meinrenken [AMM98, Definition 2.2]). A quasi-Hamiltonian K -space $M = (M, \omega, \mu)$ is a K -manifold M equipped with a K -invariant 2-form ω and K -equivariant map $\mu : M \rightarrow K$ such that

1. $d\omega = -\mu^*\chi$,
2. $\iota(v_\xi)\omega = (1/2)\mu^*(\theta + \bar{\theta})$ for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, where v_ξ is the vector field on M given by the infinitesimal action of ξ , and
3. $\ker \omega_x = \{v_\xi(x) \mid \xi \in \ker(\text{Ad}_{\mu(x)} + 1)\}$ for each $x \in M$.

We call $\mu : M \rightarrow K$ the K -valued *moment map*, or simply the *moment map*.

Example 12.5 (The double [AMM98, Remark 3.2]). Let $D(G) = G \times G$, and define a G^2 -action on $D(G)$ by

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \cdot (a, b) := (\sigma_1 a \sigma_2^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma_2} b)$$

for $(a, b) \in D(G)$ and $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in G^2$. Then $D(G)$ is a quasi-Hamiltonian G^2 -space with the 2-form

$$\omega_D = \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{Ad}_b a^* \theta, a^* \theta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a^* \theta, b^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) \rangle$$

and the moment map

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) : D(G) \longrightarrow G^2, \quad (a, b) \longmapsto (\text{Ad}_a b, b^{-1}).$$

Theorem 12.6 (Fusion product [AMM98, Theorem 6.1]). *Let $(M, \omega, \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3))$ be a quasi-Hamiltonian $K \times K \times H$ -space, and consider the diagonal embedding $K \times H \hookrightarrow K \times K \times H$, $(k, h) \mapsto (k, k, h)$. Then M is a quasi-Hamiltonian $K \times H$ -space with the 2-form*

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mu_1^* \theta, \mu_2^* \bar{\theta} \rangle$$

and the moment map

$$\tilde{\mu} = (\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2, \mu_3) : M \longrightarrow K \times H.$$

The product $M_1 \times M_2$ of quasi-Hamiltonian $K \times H_j$ -spaces M_j ($j = 1, 2$) is a quasi-Hamiltonian $K \times H_1 \times K \times H_2$ -space. The fusion product $M_1 \circledast M_2$ is a quasi-Hamiltonian $K \times H_1 \times H_2$ -space obtained from $M_1 \times M_2$ by fusing K -factors. Note that the fusion product is associative:

$$(M_1 \circledast M_2) \circledast M_3 = M_1 \circledast (M_2 \circledast M_3).$$

We consider $n - 1$ copies of double $D_i = (D(G), \omega_{D_i}, \mu_i)$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$) with moment map

$$\mu_i = (\mu_{i,1}, \mu_{i,2}) : D(G) \longrightarrow G^2, \quad (a_i, b_i) \longmapsto (\text{Ad}_{a_i} b_i, b_i^{-1}).$$

Then the fusion product $D(G)^{\circledast(n-1)} = D_2 \circledast \dots \circledast D_n$ given by fusing first G -factors is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ as a G^n -manifold, and hence it defines a structure of quasi-Hamiltonian G^n -space on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$. Since

$$b_1^{-1} = (\text{Ad}_{a_2} b_2) \dots (\text{Ad}_{a_n} b_n) = \mu_{2,1} \cdot \mu_{3,1} \dots \mu_{n,1} \tag{12.1}$$

is a component of the moment map on $D(G)^{\circledast(n-1)} \cong \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$, we have the following.

Theorem 12.7 ([AMM98, Section 9]). *There exists a structure of quasi-Hamiltonian G^n -space on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ such that*

$$\mu^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) \longrightarrow G^n, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \longmapsto \mathbf{b}^{-1} = (b_1^{-1}, \dots, b_n^{-1})$$

is the moment map. The quasi-Hamiltonian reduction $(\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)/G^n$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$.

Remark 12.8. Treloar [Tre02] also shows this fact, and describes the Goldman system as bending Hamiltonians on the moduli space of n -gons in $S^3 \cong SU(2)$.

Set $\mu_{\leq i} = \mu_{2,1} \cdot \mu_{3,1} \dots \mu_{i,1}$ for simplicity. Then the 2-form $\omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)}$ on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)} &= \sum_{i=2}^n \omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=3}^n \langle (\mu_{\leq i-1})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \bar{\theta} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=3}^n \langle \text{Ad}_{\mu_{i,1}^{-1}}(\mu_{\leq i-1})^* \theta, \text{Ad}_{\mu_{i,1}^{-1}}(\mu_{i,1})^* \bar{\theta} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=3}^n \langle (\mu_{\leq i})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \tag{12.2}$$

Here, we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\mu_{i,1}^{-1}}[(\mu_{\leq i-1})^* \theta] &= (\mu_{\leq i})^* \theta - (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta, \\ \text{Ad}_{\mu_{i,1}^{-1}}(\mu_{i,1})^* \bar{\theta} &= (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta, \\ \langle (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which follow from

$$g^{-1}(h^{-1}dh)g = (hg)^{-1}d(hg) - g^{-1}dg, \tag{12.3}$$

$$g^{-1}((dg)g^{-1})g = g^{-1}dg, \tag{12.4}$$

and the fact that pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ is symmetric and θ is a one-form.

13 Walls and quasi-Hamiltonian reductions

Recall that walls in the space of parabolic weights are given by

$$H_{I,k} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in [0, 1/2)^n \mid \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j - \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i = k \right\}$$

for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $J = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ as

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in J, \\ -1 & i \in I, \end{cases} \tag{13.1}$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \alpha_i = k$.

Lemma 13.1. *A parabolic weight $\alpha \in [0, 1]^n$ lies on a wall if and only if \mathcal{C}_α contains $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ such that g_1, \dots, g_n lie on a common maximal torus and satisfy $g_1 \cdots g_n = 1$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C}_α contains $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ such that g_1, \dots, g_n lie on a common maximal torus and satisfy $g_1 \cdots g_n = 1$, then one can simultaneously diagonalize g_1, \dots, g_n so that $g_i = \exp(\epsilon_i \alpha_i x_0)$ for some $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. Then $g_1 \cdots g_n = \exp[(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n) x_0] = 1$ implies $\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that α is on the wall defined by ϵ .

Conversely, if α satisfies $\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, then $(g_i = \exp(\epsilon_i \alpha_i x_0))_{i=1}^n$ gives an element of \mathcal{C}_α contained in the same maximal torus satisfying $g_1 \cdots g_n = 1$. \square

Since $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is described as the quasi-Hamiltonian reduction $(\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)/G^n$ by Theorem 12.7, there are two ways for $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ to be singular. One way is for μ^G to have a critical point.

Proposition 13.2. *The critical point set of μ^G consists of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ such that $b_1, a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}, \dots, a_n b_n a_n^{-1}$ lie on a common maximal torus.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mu^G(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Under the identifications $T_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) \cong T_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} G^{2(n-1)} \cong \mathfrak{g}^{2(n-1)}$ and $T_{\mathbf{b}^{-1}} G^n \cong \mathfrak{g}^n$ by right translations, $d\mu_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}^G : \mathfrak{g}^{2(n-2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^n$ is given by

$$d\mu_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}^G(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = (-\text{Ad}_{b_1^{-1}} \eta_1, \dots, -\text{Ad}_{b_n^{-1}} \eta_n)$$

with

$$-\text{Ad}_{b_1^{-1}} \eta_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n \text{Ad}_{(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_{i-1} b_{i-1} a_{i-1}^{-1})} (\xi_i - \text{Ad}_{a_i b_i a_i^{-1}} \xi_i - \text{Ad}_{a_i} \eta_i).$$

Hence $(\xi, \eta) \in \ker d\mu_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}^G$ if and only if $\eta = 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \text{Ad}_{(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_{i-1} b_{i-1} a_{i-1}^{-1})} (\xi_i - \text{Ad}_{a_i b_i a_i^{-1}} \xi_i) = 0. \quad (13.2)$$

Since $b_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_i}$, there exists $g_i \in G$ such that $b_i = g_i e^{\alpha_i x_0} g_i^{-1}$. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= (a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_{i-1} b_{i-1} a_{i-1}^{-1}) a_i g_i, \\ \xi'_i &= \text{Ad}_{g_i^{-1} a_i^{-1}} \xi_i, \end{aligned}$$

the equation (13.2) is written as

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \text{Ad}_{h_i} (\xi'_i - \text{Ad}_{\exp(\alpha_i x_0)} \xi'_i) = 0. \quad (13.3)$$

Since

$$\xi' - \text{Ad}_{\exp(\alpha_i x_0)} \xi' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1 - e^{4\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i}) \xi'_{12} \\ (1 - e^{-4\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i}) \xi'_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\xi' = (\xi'_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{g}$, the dimension of the image of the map

$$\mathfrak{g}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (\xi'_2, \dots, \xi'_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=2}^n \text{Ad}_{h_i} (\xi'_i - \text{Ad}_{\exp(\alpha_i x_0)} \xi'_i)$$

is at least two, and is exactly two if and only if there exists some $g \in G$ such that gh_2, \dots, gh_n are diagonal matrices. Note that

$$(gh_i)e^{\alpha_i x_0}(gh_i)^{-1} = g((a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_{i-1} b_{i-1} a_{i-1}^{-1}))(a_i b_i a_i^{-1})((a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_{i-1} b_{i-1} a_{i-1}^{-1}))^{-1} g^{-1}.$$

If gh_2 is a diagonal matrix, then so is $ga_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} g^{-1}$. If h_3 is also a diagonal matrix, then so is $g(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1})(a_3 b_3 a_3^{-1})(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1})^{-1} g^{-1}$, and hence $ga_3 b_3 a_3^{-1} g^{-1}$ is also a diagonal matrix. By continuing the same discussion, one shows that if gh_2, \dots, gh_n are diagonal matrices, then so are $ga_i b_i a_i^{-1} g^{-1}$ for $n = 2, \dots, n$ are. Then (12.1) implies that $gb_1 g^{-1}$ is also a diagonal matrix. This means that $b_1, a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}, \dots, a_n b_n a_n^{-1}$ are in the same maximal torus, and Proposition 13.2 is proved. \square

The other way for $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ to be singular is for the G^n -action on the level set $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha) = (\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$ to have more stabilizer than the generic orbit. Note that the generic stabilizer is given by $\{\pm 1\} = \{\pm(1, \dots, 1)\} \subset G^n$.

Proposition 13.3. *The non-free locus of the $G^n/\{\pm 1\}$ -action on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ consists of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ such that $b_1, a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}, \dots, a_n b_n a_n^{-1}$ lie on a common maximal torus.*

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in G^n$ fixes $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_1 a_l \sigma_l^{-1} = a_l, \quad l = 2, \dots, n, \quad (13.4)$$

$$\sigma_i b_i \sigma_i^{-1} = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (13.5)$$

The condition (13.4) is written as $\sigma_l = a_l^{-1} \sigma_1 a_l$, which means that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are in the same conjugacy class. By the G^n -action

$$a_l \mapsto g_1 a_l g_l^{-1}, \quad b_i \mapsto g_i b_i g_i^{-1}, \quad \sigma_i \mapsto g_i \sigma_i g_i^{-1},$$

we may assume that $b_i = e^{\alpha_i x_0}$ are diagonal matrices for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then (13.5) implies that σ_i is a diagonal matrix if $b_i \neq 1$. We may assume that σ_i is diagonal also in the case $b_i = 1$ by the G^n -action. Since $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are diagonal matrices in the same conjugacy class, one has $\sigma_i = \sigma_1^{\epsilon_i}$ for some diagonal matrix σ_1 and $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$. Now we assume that $\sigma \neq \pm 1$. This implies $\sigma_i \neq \pm 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, since $(\pm 1)^{-1} = \pm 1$. From (13.4), a_l has the form

$$a_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(1-\epsilon_l)/2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau_l} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau_l} \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence

$$a_l b_l a_l^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\epsilon_l \alpha_l} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\epsilon_l \alpha_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $b_1(a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1}) \dots (a_n b_n a_n^{-1}) = 1$ implies that $\sum_i \epsilon_i \alpha_i = k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means that $\alpha \in H_{I,k}$ for $I = \{i \mid \epsilon_i = 1\}$.

Conversely, if $\alpha \in H_{I,k}$, then the above argument shows that there exists a set of diagonal matrices $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ which has a non-trivial stabilizer. \square

The proof of Proposition 13.3 shows that any element of the stabilizer of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ has the form

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = (\sigma_1, a_2^{-1} \sigma_1 a_2, \dots, a_n^{-1} \sigma_1 a_n).$$

Note that $b_i = \pm 1$ if and only if $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1/2\}$. If $b_i \neq \pm 1$ for some i , then (13.5) implies that $\sigma_i = a_i^{-1} \sigma_1 a_i$ must be in a maximal torus, and hence the stabilizer is isomorphic to T . On the other hand, if $b_i = \pm 1$ for all i , then the stabilizer is isomorphic to G , since σ_1 can be arbitrary. When $\alpha \in \{0, 1/2\}^n$, then $\mathbf{b} \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ carries no degree of freedom and the α -projection induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ with G^{n-1} . The G^n action on G^{n-1} indeed has a stabilizer isomorphic to G , and the quotient $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) = \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)/G^n$ consists of one point.

Propositions 13.2 and 13.3 shows that if α lies on some $H_{I,k}$, then the singular locus of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is given by $[g_1, \dots, g_n] \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha/G$ such that g_1, \dots, g_n lie on a common maximal torus. Then one can diagonalize g_1, \dots, g_n simultaneously, so that $g_i = \exp(\epsilon_i \alpha_i x_0)$ where ϵ are given in (13.1). If α lies on k walls, then $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ has k isolated singularities, each of which is given by $[\exp(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 x_0), \dots, \exp(\epsilon_n \alpha_n x_0)]$.

Corollary 13.4. *Suppose that α is a weight lying on some $H_{I,k}$. Let $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ be a critical point of μ^G , and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ be the corresponding singular point. Then there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ of \mathbf{g} such that $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ is locally homeomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{t}) \times ((U \times T)/(\{\mathbf{g}\} \times T))$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma; \alpha)$ admits a G^n -invariant Whitney stratification.*

Here $(U \times T)/(\{\mathbf{g}\} \times T)$ is the topological space obtained from $U \times T$ by contracting the subset $\{\mathbf{g}\} \times T \subset U \times T$ to a point, and $\mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{t}$ is the quotient vector space.

Propositions 13.2 and 13.3 implies the following.

Corollary 13.5. *If α and α' are in the same chamber, then $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha'}(\Sigma)$.*

Let

$$\mu^T = \mu^G|_{\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma)} : \mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma) \longrightarrow T^n, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \longmapsto \mathbf{b}^{-1}$$

be the restriction of the group-valued moment map. Then

$$(\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) \cap \mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma) = (\mu^T)^{-1}(e^{-\alpha_1 x_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n x_0}).$$

Corollary 13.6. *If $\alpha \notin \{0, 1/2\}^n$, then the diffeomorphism $(\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)/G^n \cong \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ induces*

$$(\mu^T)^{-1}(e^{-\alpha_1 x_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n x_0})/T^n \cong \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma).$$

14 Gluing and Goldman systems

In this section, we see the Goldman's functions via gluing of Riemann surfaces, following the idea of Hurtubise and Jeffrey [HJ00].

Fix a simple closed curve C in Σ and consider a decomposition $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup_C \Sigma^-$ into two surfaces by cutting Σ along C . We may assume that the boundary components of Σ^+ (resp. Σ^-) are $B_1^+ = B_1, \dots, B_{m+1}^+ = B_{m+1}$, and $B_{m+2}^+ = C$ (resp. $B_1^- = C, B_2^- = B_{m+2}, \dots, B_{n-m}^- = B_n$). Then $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-)$) has the action of $G^{m+2} =$

$G_1^+ \times \cdots \times G_{m+2}^+$ (resp. $G^{n-m} = G_1^- \times \cdots \times G_{n-m}^-$) corresponding to the boundary components. We write the moment maps μ_{\pm}^G on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^{\pm})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_+^G &= (\mu_{B_1^+}^G, \dots, \mu_{B_{m+2}^+}^G) = (\mu_{\leq m+2}^+, \mu_{2,2}^+, \dots, \mu_{m+2,2}^+), \\ \mu_-^G &= (\mu_{B_1^-}^G, \dots, \mu_{B_{n-m}^-}^G) = (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-, \mu_{2,2}^-, \dots, \mu_{n-m,2}^-).\end{aligned}$$

For the diagonal subgroup $G_C \subset G_{m+2}^+ \times G_1^-$, The moment map of the G_C -action on the fusion product $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) := \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+) \otimes \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-)$ is given by

$$\nu_C^G = \mu_{B_{m+2}^+}^G \cdot \mu_{B_1^-}^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow G, \quad ((\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{b}^+), (\mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{b}^-)) \longmapsto (b_1^- b_{m+2}^+)^{-1}.$$

We define the “gluing map” $\pi_C^G : (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C((\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{b}^+), (\mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{b}^-)) \\ = (a_2^+, \dots, a_{m+1}^+, a_{m+2}^+ a_2^-, \dots, a_{m+2}^+ a_{n-m}^-; b_1^+, \dots, b_{m+1}^+, b_2^-, \dots, b_{n-m}^-).\end{aligned}$$

(See Figure 14.1.)




Figure 14.1: The dual graph of $\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-$.

Then we have

Proposition 14.1. *The map $\pi_C : (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1)/G_C \cong \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$$

of quasi-Hamiltonian G^n -space.

Proof. It is easy to see that π_C is well-defined and surjective. To see that the induced map is injective, suppose that

$$\pi_C((\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{b}^+), (\mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{b}^-)) = \pi_C((\mathbf{c}^+, \mathbf{d}^+), (\mathbf{c}^-, \mathbf{d}^-))$$

for $((\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{b}^+), (\mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{b}^-)), ((\mathbf{c}^+, \mathbf{d}^+), (\mathbf{c}^-, \mathbf{d}^-)) \in (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}a_i^+ &= c_i^+, \quad i = 2, \dots, m+1, \\ a_{m+2}^+ a_j^- &= c_{m+2}^+ c_j^-, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-m, \\ b_i^+ &= d_i^+, \quad i = 1, \dots, m+1, \\ b_j^- &= d_j^-, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-m.\end{aligned} \tag{14.1}$$

Note that $b_{m+2}^+, b_1^-, d_{m+2}^+, d_1^-$ are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^+ (a_2^+ b_2^+ (a_2^+)^{-1}) \dots (a_{m+2}^+ b_{m+2}^+ (a_{m+2}^+)^{-1}) &= 1, \\ b_1^- (a_2^- b_2^- (a_2^-)^{-1}) \dots (a_{n-m}^+ b_{n-m}^+ (a_{n-m}^+)^{-1}) &= 1, \\ d_1^+ (c_2^+ d_2^+ (c_2^+)^{-1}) \dots (c_{m+2}^+ d_{m+2}^+ (c_{m+2}^+)^{-1}) &= 1, \\ d_1^- (c_2^- d_2^- (c_2^-)^{-1}) \dots (c_{n-m}^+ d_{n-m}^+ (c_{n-m}^+)^{-1}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\sigma = (c_{m+2}^+)^{-1} a_{m+2}^+ \in G = G_C$, the condition (14.1) is written as

$$c_j^- = \sigma a_j^-, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-m.$$

This implies that $((\mathbf{c}^+, \mathbf{d}^+), (\mathbf{c}^-, \mathbf{d}^-)) = \sigma \cdot ((\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{b}^+), (\mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{b}^-))$. Hence the induced map $(\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1)/G \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ is injective.

It remains to check that $\iota^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)} = \pi_C^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)}$, where $\iota : (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)$ is the inclusion. From (12.2), the 2-form $\omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)}$ on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)} &= \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+)} + \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-)} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{m+2,2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{m+2} \left(\omega_{D_i^+} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1}^+)^* \theta \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{n-m} \left(\omega_{D_i^-} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{m+2,2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mu_{m+2,2}^+)^{-1} = b_{m+2}^+ = (b_1^-)^{-1} = \mu_{\leq n-m}^-$ and $\mu_{m+1,1}^+ = \text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq n-m}^-$ on $(\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1)$, we have

$$\iota^* \omega_{D_{m+2}^+} = \left\langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) - \text{Ad}_{\mu_{\leq n-m}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta \right\rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^* \langle (\mu_{\leq m+2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{m+2,1}^+)^* \theta \rangle &= \left\langle (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \right\rangle \\ \iota^* \langle (\mu_{m+2,2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the restriction $\iota^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma + \Pi \Sigma^-)} &= \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} \left(\omega_{D_i^+} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1}^+)^* \theta \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{n-m} \left(\omega_{D_i^-} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq n-m}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) - \text{Ad}_{\mu_{\leq n-m}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the pull-back of $\omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)} &= \pi_C^* \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} \left(\omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \pi_C^* \sum_{j=2}^{n-m} \left(\omega_{D_{m+j}} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq m+j})^* \theta, (\mu_{m+j,1})^* \theta \rangle \right)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\pi_C^* \left(\omega_{D_i} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i})^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1})^* \theta \rangle \right) = \omega_{D_i^+} + \frac{1}{2} \langle (\mu_{\leq i}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{i,1}^+)^* \theta \rangle \quad (14.2)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m+1$. By using

$$\pi_C^* a_{m+j}^* \theta = (a_{m+2}^+ a_j^-)^* \theta = \text{Ad}_{(a_j^-)^{-1}} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta + (a_j^-)^* \theta$$

for $j = 2, \dots, n-m$ and formulae

$$(\text{Ad}_a b)^* \theta = \text{Ad}_{ab^{-1}} a^* \theta + \text{Ad}_a b^* \theta - a^* \bar{\theta}, \quad (14.3)$$

$$(\text{Ad}_a b)^* \bar{\theta} = a^* \bar{\theta} + \text{Ad}_a b^* \bar{\theta} - \text{Ad}_{ab} a^* \theta, \quad (14.4)$$

we have

$$\pi_C^* \omega_{D_{m+j}} = \omega_{D_j^-} + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{j,1}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) - \text{Ad}_{\mu_{j,1}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta \right\rangle. \quad (14.5)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C^* \langle (\mu_{\leq m+j})^* \theta, (\mu_{m+j,1})^* \theta \rangle &= \left\langle (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+ \cdot \text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \text{Ad}_{(\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-)^{-1}} (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+)^* \theta + (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+)^* \theta, \text{Ad}_{\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-} (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and the formulae (14.3) and (14.4) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C^* \langle (\mu_{\leq m+j})^* \theta, (\mu_{m+j,1})^* \theta \rangle &= \langle (\mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \theta, (\mu_{j,1}^-)^* \theta \rangle + \left\langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, \text{Ad}_{\mu_{j,1}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta - (\mu_{j,1}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, \text{Ad}_{\mu_{\leq j}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta - \text{Ad}_{\mu_{\leq j-1}^-} (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (a_{m+2}^+)^* \theta, (\mu_{\leq j}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) - (\mu_{\leq j-1}^-)^* (\theta + \bar{\theta}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle (\mu_{\leq m+1}^+)^* \theta, (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j}^-)^* \bar{\theta} - (\text{Ad}_{a_{m+2}^+} \mu_{\leq j-1}^-)^* \bar{\theta} \right\rangle,\end{aligned} \quad (14.6)$$

where we assume that $\mu_{\leq 1}^- = 1$ is a constant map. Combining (14.2), (14.5), and (14.6), we have $\pi_C^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)} = \iota^* \omega_{\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)}$. \square

We consider the action of $G_{m+2}^+ = G_{m+2}^+ \times \{1\} \subset G_{m+2}^+ \times G_1^-$ with moment map

$$\mu_C^G = \mu_{B_{m+2}^+}^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \rightarrow G, \quad \mu_C^G(\mathbf{a}^\pm, \mathbf{b}^\pm) = (b_{m+2}^+)^{-1}.$$

Since G^{n-3} acts on the b_{m+2}^+ -component by conjugation, the function

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_C = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mu_C^G \right) : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

descends to $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$, and induces a Goldman's function $\vartheta_C : \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $\nu_C^T = \nu_C^G|_{\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)}$ be the restriction of the moment map to $\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) = \mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma^+) \times \mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma^-)$. Then $(\nu_C^T)^{-1}(1) \subset (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1)$ is preserved under the action of the maximal torus $T_{m+2}^+ \times T_1^- \subset G_{m+2}^+ \times G_1^-$. The Hamiltonian torus action of ϑ_C is induced from the action of $T_{m+2}^+ \times \{1\} \subset T_{m+2}^+ \times T_1^-$ on $(\nu_C^T)^{-1}(1)$ (see [HJ00]).

Now we fix a pair-of-pants decomposition $\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} \Sigma_i$ given by $n-3$ simple closed curves C_1, \dots, C_{n-3} with dual graph Γ , and let C_i^+, C_i^- denote the copies of C_i in the disjoint union $\coprod_i \Sigma_i$. Then the fusion product $\mathcal{N}^G(\coprod_i \Sigma_i) := \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma_{n-2})$ has the actions of diagonal subgroups $G^{n-3} = \prod_i G_{C_i}$ in $G^{2(n-3)} = \prod_i G_{C_i^+} \times G_{C_i^-}$ with moment map $\nu_\Gamma^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\coprod_i \Sigma_i) \rightarrow G^{n-3}$. We can define the gluing map $\pi_\Gamma : (\nu_\Gamma^G)^{-1}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ in a similar manner.

Corollary 14.2. *The map $\pi_\Gamma : (\nu_\Gamma^G)^{-1}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(\nu_\Gamma^G)^{-1}(1)/G^{n-3} \cong \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma)$$

of quasi-Hamiltonian G^n -spaces. The functions $\tilde{\vartheta}_{C_1}, \dots, \tilde{\vartheta}_{C_{n-3}}$ induces the Goldman system

$$\Theta_{\alpha, \Gamma} = (\vartheta_{C_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{C_{n-3}}) : \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}.$$

The Hamiltonian torus action of $\Theta_{\alpha, \Gamma}$ is given by the action of the maximal torus $\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} T_{C_i^+} \subset \prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (G_{C_i^+} \times \{1\})$ on $(\nu_\Gamma^T)^{-1}(1) \subset \mathcal{N}^T(\coprod_i \Sigma_i)$.

Remark 14.3. The reduction $(\nu_\Gamma^T)^{-1}(1)/T^{n-3}$ of the T -extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}^T(\coprod_i \Sigma_i)$ is not homeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma)$ on the locus where holonomies along any components of $\partial \Sigma_i$ are central for some i .

15 Isomorphisms of Goldman systems

Fix a generic parabolic weight $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)^n$ such that $|\alpha| < 1$. Then $t\alpha = (t\alpha_1, \dots, t\alpha_n)$ and α are in the same chamber for $t \in (0, 1]$, and hence $\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Note that the images $\Delta_\Gamma(t\alpha) = \Theta_{t\alpha, \Gamma}(\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma))$ of the Goldman systems are related by scalings $\Delta_\Gamma(t\alpha) = t\Delta_\Gamma(\alpha)$. In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 15.1. *Suppose that α satisfies the above condition. Then for each Γ , there exists a family of symplectomorphism*

$$\psi_t : (\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma), \omega_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma), (1/t)\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}})$$

such that $(1/t)\psi_t^*\Theta_{t\alpha,\Gamma} = \Theta_{\alpha,\Gamma}$. Namely,

$$\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma) \rightarrow (0,1] \quad (15.1)$$

is trivial as a family of symplectic manifolds equipped with completely integrable systems.

We first consider a decomposition $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup_C \Sigma^-$ given by a single simple closed curve as in Section 14.

Lemma 15.2. *For $t \in (0,1]$, there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi_t : \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that $(1/t)\psi_t^*\vartheta_{t\alpha,C} = \vartheta_{\alpha,C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C} = \bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \mathcal{C}_{t\alpha} \subset G^n$ be the family of conjugacy classes with projection $\pi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow (0,1]$. Then the total space $\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma)$ of the family (15.1) is given by

$$\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) = (\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})/G^m,$$

where $\mu^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma) \rightarrow G^n$ is the moment map. Since $|\alpha| < 1$, the family \mathfrak{C} is trivialized by

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{t\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \longmapsto \mathbf{c}^t = ((c_1)^t, \dots, (c_n)^t), \quad (15.2)$$

where $c^t = ge^{tx}g^{-1}$ for $c = ge^xg^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ with $x \in A_t$.

Let

$$\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G = (\mu_{B_1^+}^G, \dots, \mu_{B_{m+1}^+}^G, \mu_{B_2^-}^G, \dots, \mu_{B_{n-m}^-}^G) : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow G^n$$

be the moment map corresponding to the boundary components of Σ , and set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) &= (\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G)^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \\ &= \bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \left(\bigcup_{\alpha_{m+2}^+, \alpha_1^-} \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+; t\alpha^+) \times \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-; t\alpha^-) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^+ = (\alpha_1^+, \dots, \alpha_{m+2}^+)$, $\alpha^- = (\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_{n-m}^-)$ with $(\alpha_1^+, \dots, \alpha_{m+1}^+) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1})$, $(\alpha_2^-, \dots, \alpha_{n-m}^-) = (\alpha_{m+2}, \dots, \alpha_n)$. This space has an action of $G^{n+2} = \prod_{i=1}^{m+2} G_i^+ \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} G_i^-$ and a G^{n+2} -invariant stratification induced from those on $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^\pm; \alpha^\pm)$. Note that the lower dimensional strata of $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$ has the form

$$\bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+; t\alpha^+) \times \text{Sing } \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-; t\alpha^-)$$

with $\alpha_1^- = \sum_{i=2}^{n-m} \epsilon_i \alpha_i^-$, or

$$\bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \text{Sing } \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+; t\alpha^+) \times \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^-; t\alpha^-)$$

with $\alpha_{m+2}^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \epsilon_i \alpha_i^+$ for some $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$. From Proposition 13.2 and $|\alpha^\pm| < 1$, trivialization (15.2) lifts to that on $\bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} \text{Sing } \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^\pm; t\alpha^\pm)$ given by

$$\text{Sing } \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^\pm; \alpha^\pm) \longrightarrow \text{Sing } \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^\pm; t\alpha^\pm), \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \longmapsto (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^t).$$

From Corollary 13.4, the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$ is locally homeomorphic to $V \times C(L)$ for some open set V in a strata and a cone $C(L) = ([0, \infty) \times L)/(\{0\} \times L)$ over a submanifold L . We fix a G^{n+2} -invariant Riemannian metric on $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$ such that it has the form $g_V + dr^2 + r^2 g_L$ on each neighborhood $V \times C(L)$ of the singular locus, where g_V and g_L are G^{n+2} -invariant Riemannian metrics on V and L , respectively, and $r \in [0, \infty)$.

Let $\nu_C^G : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \rightarrow G_C$ be the moment map of the action of the diagonal subgroup $G_C \subset G_{m+2}^+ \times G_1^-$, and define

$$\mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) = (\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G, \nu_C^G)^{-1}(\mathfrak{C} \times \{1\}) = (\nu_C^G)^{-1}(1) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$$

so that the family $\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is given by

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G : \mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) \cong \mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)/(G^n \times G_C) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow (0, 1].$$

Then the horizontal lift of the trivialization (15.2) of $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ gives a G^{n+1} -equivariant trivialization

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t : \mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)_1 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)_t, \\ ((\mathbf{a}^+, e^{\mathbf{x}^+}), (\mathbf{a}^-, e^{\mathbf{x}^-})) &\longmapsto ((\mathbf{c}^+(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}, t), e^{t\mathbf{x}^+}), (\mathbf{c}^-(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}, t), e^{t\mathbf{x}^-})) \end{aligned} \quad (15.3)$$

of the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ preserving the stratification, where $\mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)_t = (\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G, \nu_C^G)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{t\alpha} \times \{1\})$ is the fiber over $t \in (0, 1]$. Since ψ_t is G^{n+1} -equivariant, it descends to a diffeomorphism $\psi_t : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma)$. From the construction of ψ_t , we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \psi_t^* \tilde{\vartheta}_{t, \alpha C} = \frac{1}{t} \psi_t^* \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} e^{x_{m+2}^+} \right) = \frac{1}{t} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} e^{tx_{m+2}^+} \right) = \tilde{\vartheta}_{\alpha, C},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 15.3. From (15.3), the flow ψ_t preserves the subfamily

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}^T(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) &= \bigcup_{t \in (0, 1]} (\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^T, \nu_T^G)^{-1}(e^{-t\alpha_1 x_0}, \dots, e^{-t\alpha_1 x_0}, 1) \\ &= \mathfrak{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \cap \mathcal{N}^T(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-) \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$. The flow ψ_t restricted to $\mathfrak{N}^T(\Sigma^+ \amalg \Sigma^-)$ is also equivariant under the action of $T_{m+2}^+ \times \{1\} \subset G_{m+2}^+ \times G_1^-$, and hence $\psi_t : \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is equivariant under the action of the Hamiltonian S^1 -action of ϑ_C .

Proof of Theorem 15.1. Let $\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} \Sigma_i$ be the pair-of-pants decomposition given by Γ . For the group valued moment map

$$\mu^G = (\mu_{\partial\Sigma}^G, \nu_{C_1}^G, \dots, \nu_{C_{n-3}}^G) : \mathcal{N}^G(\Sigma_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \Sigma_{n-2}) \longrightarrow G^n \times G^{n-3}$$

of the $G^n \times G^{n-3}$ -action, we define $\mathfrak{N}^G(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i) = (\mu^G)^{-1}(\mathfrak{C} \times \{1\})$ and $\mathfrak{N}^T(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i) = \mathfrak{N}^G(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i) \cap \mathcal{N}^T(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i)$. By applying the above argument, we obtain a trivialization $\psi_t : \mathfrak{N}^G(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i)_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^G(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i)_t$ of $\mathfrak{N}^G(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i)$ which induces trivializations of $\mathfrak{N}^T(\bigsqcup_i \Sigma_i)$ and $\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma)$, and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{t} \psi_t^* \Theta_{t\alpha, \Gamma} = \Theta_{\alpha, \Gamma}.$$

In particular, ψ_i preserves the action variables on $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$.

The Hamiltonian torus action of the Goldman system, which is defined on an open dense subset $U \subset \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$, is induced from the action of a maximal torus $\prod_{i=1}^{n-3}(T_{C_i^+} \times \{1\})$ in $\prod_i(G_{C_i^+} \times \{1\}) \subset \prod_i(G_{C_i^+} \times G_{C_i^-})$. Since the trivialization $\psi_t : \mathfrak{N}^T(\coprod_i \Sigma_i)_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^T(\coprod_i \Sigma_i)_t$ is $\prod_i(T_{C_i^+} \times T_{C_i^-})$ -equivariant, the Hamiltonian torus action of the Goldman systems are preserved by ψ_t . This means that $\psi_t : \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma)$ preserves angle variables. Hence $(1/t)\psi_t^*\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}$ coincides with $\omega_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha}$ on U . Since ψ_t is a diffeomorphism and U is dense, we have $(1/t)\psi_t^*\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}} = \omega_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$. \square

16 Goldman systems and bending systems

We see in Theorem 9.3 that \mathcal{N}_α is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_α as complex manifolds if $|\alpha| < 1$. On the other hand, Jeffrey [Jef94] proved the following by using the \mathfrak{g} -extended moduli space.

Proposition 16.1 (Jeffrey [Jef94, Theorem 6.6]). *For sufficiently small $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)^n$, the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\Sigma)$ is symplectomorphic to \mathcal{M}_α .*

Outline of the proof. The proposition is proved by using a canonical local model of Hamiltonian spaces called the Marle-Guillemin-Sternberg form [GS84, Mar85]. Recall that the moment map of the G^n -action on the \mathfrak{g} -extended moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\mathfrak{g}(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\mu^\mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{N}^\mathfrak{g}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^n, \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) \longmapsto -\mathbf{x}.$$

Since the stabilizer of $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}) \in (\mu^\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is the diagonal subgroup $G \subset G^n$, the fiber $(\mu^\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is identified with G^n/G by

$$G^n/G \longrightarrow (\mu^\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\mathbf{0}), \quad [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n] \longrightarrow (\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_1\sigma_n^{-1}).$$

Then the Marle-Guillemin-Sternberg form of a neighborhood of $(\mu^\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is a neighborhood of the zero section of the vector bundle $G^n \times_G (\mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g})^* \rightarrow G^n/G$ equipped with the moment map

$$\mu_{\text{MGS}} : G^n \times_G (\mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g})^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^n, \quad [\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{y}] \longrightarrow (\text{Ad}(\sigma_i)y_i)_i.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^\mathfrak{g})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G^n &= (\mu_{\text{MGS}})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G^n \\ &= \{[\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{y}] \in G^n \times_G (\mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g})^* \mid \text{Ad}(\sigma_i)y_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \dots, n\}/G^n \\ &\cong (\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cap \{(x, \dots, x) \in \mathfrak{g}^n \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\}^\perp)/G \\ &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}_\alpha \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0\}/G \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Fix α such that $|\alpha| < 1$, and consider the family

$$f : \mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{t \in (0,1]} (\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}(\Sigma), (1/t)\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}) \longrightarrow (0, 1]$$

of symplectic manifolds. From Proposition 16.1, a fiber $(\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}, \omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}})$ over sufficiently small $t \in (0, 1]$ is symplectomorphic to $(\mathcal{M}_{t\alpha}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{t\alpha}})$. Since $(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}})$ is symplectomorphic to $(\mathcal{M}_{t\alpha}, (1/t)\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{t\alpha}})$ by scaling $\mathbf{x} \mapsto t\mathbf{x}$, we can extend the family $f : \mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ to a family over $[0, 1]$ by setting

$$f^{-1}(0) = (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}}).$$

Proposition 16.2. *The symplectic trivialization $\{\psi_t\}$ of $\mathfrak{N}(\Sigma) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ given in Theorem 15.1 extends to the family over $[0, 1]$. Moreover this trivialization identifies Goldman systems $(1/t)\Theta_{t\alpha, \Gamma} : \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ and the bending system $\Phi_{\Gamma} : \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$.*

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ and let

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = (g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t)) = (e^{x_1(t)}, \dots, e^{x_n(t)}) := \psi_t(\mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{N}_{t\alpha} \quad (16.1)$$

be the trajectory of ψ_t starting from \mathbf{g} . Then $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ is a smooth curve in $\bigcup_t \mathcal{O}_{t\alpha}$ of the form $x_i(t) = tx_i + O(t^2)$. Since $g_1(t) \dots g_n(t) = 1 + t(x_1 + \dots + x_n) + O(t^2)$, the point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ lies in \mathcal{M}_{α} . We also take smooth families of tangent vectors $u(t), v(t) \in T_{\mathbf{g}(t)}\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}$ such that $d\psi_t(u(1)) = u(t)$ and $d\psi_t(v(1)) = v(t)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{t}\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}(u(t), v(t)) = \omega_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}}(u(1), v(1))$$

for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Let $\xi(t) = \xi + O(t)$, $\eta(t) = \eta + O(t)$ be smooth curves in \mathfrak{g}^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t)(\gamma_i) &= \text{Ad}_{g_i(t)} \xi_i(t) - \xi_i(t), \\ v(t)(\gamma_i) &= \text{Ad}_{g_i(t)} \eta_i(t) - \eta_i(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\text{Ad}_{g_i(t)} \xi_i(t) - \xi_i(t) = t[x_i, \xi_i] + O(t^2), \quad (16.2)$$

$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathfrak{g}^n$ give tangent vectors of \mathcal{M}_{α} at \mathbf{x} . Note that (16.2) also implies that

$$(u(t) \cup v(t))[\pi_1(\Sigma), \partial\pi_1(\Sigma)] = O(t^2).$$

On the other hand, the second term of $\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}$ in (11.3) has the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\langle \xi_i(t), \text{Ad}_{g_i(t)} \eta_i(t) \rangle - \langle \eta_i(t), \text{Ad}_{g_i(t)} \xi_i(t) \rangle) = t \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, [\xi_i, \eta_i] \rangle + O(t^2).$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{t}\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}(u(t), v(t)) = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}}(\xi, \eta) + O(t).$$

Since the left hand side is independent of t , we have

$$\frac{1}{t}\omega_{\mathcal{N}_{t\alpha}}(u(t), v(t)) = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}}(\xi, \eta),$$

or equivalently $\psi_0^* \omega_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha} = \omega_{\mathcal{N}_\alpha}$.

Next we show that the integrable systems are identified. Suppose that the k -th boundary component C_k is given by $[C_k] = \gamma_{i_k} \dots \gamma_{i_k+n_k}$. If we write

$$g_{i_k}(t) \dots g_{i_k+n_k}(t) = e^{y_k(t)}$$

for $y_k(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $y_k(t)$ has eigenvalues $\pm \vartheta_{t\alpha, C_k}(\mathbf{g}(t))$. Since

$$y_k(t) = t(x_{i_k} + \dots + x_{i_k+n_k}) + O(t^2)$$

and the eigenvalues of $x_{i_k} + \dots + x_{i_k+n_k}$ are $\pm \varphi_{d_k}(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \vartheta_{t\alpha, C_k}(\mathbf{g}(t)) = \varphi_{d_k}(\mathbf{x}) + O(t).$$

Theorem 15.1 implies that the left hand side is also independent of t , and hence $\frac{1}{t} \vartheta_{t\alpha, C_k}$ is identified with φ_{d_k} by the trivialization. \square

References

- [AMM98] Anton Alekseev, Anton Malkin, and Eckhard Meinrenken, *Lie group valued moment maps*, J. Differential Geom. **48** (1998), no. 3, 445–495. MR MR1638045 (99k:58062)
- [Bau91] Stefan Bauer, *Parabolic bundles, elliptic surfaces and $SU(2)$ -representation spaces of genus zero Fuchsian groups*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), no. 3, 509–526. MR 1116235 (92h:14007)
- [DH98] Igor V. Dolgachev and Yi Hu, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1998), no. 87, 5–56, With an appendix by Nicolas Ressayre. MR 1659282 (2000b:14060)
- [FH05] Philip Foth and Yi Hu, *Toric degenerations of weight varieties and applications*, Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XVI, Trav. Math., XVI, Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2005, pp. 87–105. MR 2223153 (2007m:14071)
- [GHJW97] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey, and A. Weinstein, *Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 2, 377–412. MR 1460627 (98e:58034)
- [GL87] Werner Geigle and Helmut Lenzing, *A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 265–297. MR MR915180 (89b:14049)
- [Gol86] William M. Goldman, *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302. MR MR846929 (87j:32069)

- [GS84] Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg, *A normal form for the moment map*, Differential geometric methods in mathematical physics (Jerusalem, 1982), Math. Phys. Stud., vol. 6, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984, pp. 161–175. MR 767835 (86d:58033)
- [HJ00] J. C. Hurtubise and L. C. Jeffrey, *Representations with weighted frames and framed parabolic bundles*, Canad. J. Math. **52** (2000), no. 6, 1235–1268. MR 1794304 (2001i:53146)
- [HK97] Jean-Claude Hausmann and Allen Knutson, *Polygon spaces and Grassmannians*, Enseign. Math. (2) **43** (1997), no. 1-2, 173–198. MR 1460127 (98e:58035)
- [HMM11] Benjamin Howard, Christopher Manon, and John Millson, *The toric geometry of triangulated polygons in Euclidean space*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), no. 4, 878–937. MR 2849001 (2012j:53110)
- [Jef94] Lisa C. Jeffrey, *Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), no. 4, 667–692. MR 1268599 (95g:58030)
- [JW92] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Jonathan Weitsman, *Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula*, Comm. Math. Phys. **150** (1992), no. 3, 593–630. MR MR1204322 (94g:58085)
- [JW94] L. C. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman, *Toric structures on the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface: volumes and the moment map*, Adv. Math. **106** (1994), no. 2, 151–168. MR 1279216 (96a:58035)
- [JW97] Lisa Jeffrey and Jonathan Weitsman, *Toric structures on the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface. II. Inductive decomposition of the moduli space*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), no. 1, 93–108. MR 1427677 (97m:58027)
- [Kly94] Alexander A. Klyachko, *Spatial polygons and stable configurations of points in the projective line*, Algebraic geometry and its applications (Yaroslavl', 1992), Aspects Math., E25, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994, pp. 67–84. MR 1282021 (95k:14015)
- [KM96] Michael Kapovich and John J. Millson, *The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space*, J. Differential Geom. **44** (1996), no. 3, 479–513. MR 1431002 (98a:58027)
- [KY02] Yasuhiko Kamiyama and Takahiko Yoshida, *Symplectic toric space associated to triangle inequalities*, Geom. Dedicata **93** (2002), 25–36. MR 1934683 (2003g:53149)
- [Len11] Helmut Lenzing, *Weighted projective lines and applications*, Representations of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 153–187. MR 2931898
- [Man] Christopher A. Manon, *The algebra of conformal blocks*, arXiv:0910.0577.

- [Man14] Alessia Mandini, *The Duistermaat-Heckman formula and the cohomology of moduli spaces of polygons*, J. Symplectic Geom. **12** (2014), no. 1, 171–213. MR 3194079
- [Mar85] Charles-Michel Marle, *Modèle d'action hamiltonienne d'un groupe de Lie sur une variété symplectique*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **43** (1985), no. 2, 227–251 (1986). MR 859857 (88a:58075)
- [MP01] John J. Millson and Jonathan A. Poritz, *Around polygons in \mathbb{R}^3 and S^3* , Comm. Math. Phys. **218** (2001), no. 2, 315–331. MR 1828984 (2002b:53132)
- [MS80] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, *Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), no. 3, 205–239. MR 575939 (81i:14010)
- [NNU10] Takeo Nishinou, Yuichi Nohara, and Kazushi Ueda, *Toric degenerations of Gelfand-Cetlin systems and potential functions*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 2, 648–706. MR 2609019
- [NU14] Yuichi Nohara and Kazushi Ueda, *Toric degenerations of integrable systems on Grassmannians and polygon spaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **214** (2014), 125–168. MR 3211821
- [SS04] David Speyer and Bernd Sturmfels, *The tropical Grassmannian*, Adv. Geom. **4** (2004), no. 3, 389–411. MR 2071813 (2005d:14089)
- [Tha96] Michael Thaddeus, *Geometric invariant theory and flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 3, 691–723. MR 1333296 (96m:14017)
- [Tre02] Thomas Treloar, *The symplectic geometry of polygons in the 3-sphere*, Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), no. 1, 30–54. MR 1880958 (2002k:53168)
- [Wei92] Jonathan Weitsman, *Real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface*, Comm. Math. Phys. **145** (1992), no. 3, 425–433. MR 1162354 (93e:58077)

Yuichi Nohara

Faculty of Education, Kagawa University, Saiwai-cho 1-1, Takamatsu, Kagawa, 760-8522, Japan.

e-mail address : nohara@ed.kagawa-u.ac.jp

Kazushi Ueda

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Machikaneyama 1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan.

e-mail address : kazushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp